

Local Public Agency Engineering Services Agreement



	Agreement For			A	greement Typ	oe	
Using Federal Funds? ☐ Yes [□ No Federal CE			C	Original		
		0041 DUD	10 4 05 110 1				
Local Public Agency	LC		LIC AGENCY	Costion N	umbar	lak	Number
Local Public Agency		County		Section N	69-00-BT		Number
City of Peoria					9-00-81		94-035-17
	ict Name		none Number	Email			
B64F(940) Andr	ea Klopfenstein	(3	909) 494-8816	aklopter	nstein@ped	oriago	v.org
Local Street/Road Name	S		ROVISIONS				
	ilused Dandhad	Key Route		ength	Structure N		
Peoria & Peoria Heights Ra	aliroad Roadbed	Rock Isla	and Irail	.06 Mile	072-990	5	
Intersection of NE Perry Av	re and Park Ave to	Hansord /	140				Add Location
	e. and Park Ave. to	narvaru <i>F</i>					Remove Location
Construction of 1.06 miles sidewalk, bridge rehabilitati							concrete
Engineering Funding		BP St	ate Other				
Anticipated Construction Funding		BP St	ate 🗌 Other				
Anticipated Constitution Funding							
		AGREEM	ENT FOR				
				III - Constru	ction Engine	erina	
						J	
		CONSU	LTANT				
Consultant (Firm) Name	Contact Nam	ne	Phone Numbe	r Ema	il		
Midwest Engineering Assoc	ciates, д Robert Cul	lp	(309) 222-8	589 rcul	p@mweair	ic.com	1
Address			City			State	Zip Code
1904 NE Monroe St.			Peoria			IL	61603
							
THIS AGREEMENT IS MADE bet professional engineering services State of Illinois under the general used entirely or in part to finance is Since the services contemplated to	in connection with the im supervision of the State I ENGINEERING services	iprovement Department as describe	of the above SEC of Transportation d under AGREEM	CTION. Proje , hereinafter MENT PROV	ect funding al r called the "E VISIONS.	lotted to DEPART	o the LPA by the FMENT," will be
individual, partnership, firm or legathe LPA and the DEPARTMENT. AGREEMENT on the basis of its of	al entity, qualifies for profe The LPA acknowledges t	essional sta he professi	itus and will be go onal and ethical s	overned by potentiatus of the	orofessional e ENGINEER b	thics in by enter	its relationship to ing into an
WHEREVER IN THIS AGREEME	NT or attached exhibits th	ne following	terms are used, t	they shall be	e interpreted t	o mean	
Regional Engineer							
	Deputy Director, Office Transportation	of Highway	s Project Implem	entation, Re	egional Engin	eer, De	partment of
Resident Construction Supervisor	Transportation	tive of the L			_		

AGREEMENT EXHIBITS

me	lollowing	-XHIBITS are attached hereto and made a part of hereof this AGREEMENT:
\boxtimes	EXHIBIT A	: Scope of Services
\boxtimes	EXHIBIT E	: Project Schedule
\boxtimes	EXHIBIT (: Direct Costs Check Sheet
\boxtimes	EXHIBIT (: Qualification Based Selection (QBS) Checklist
\boxtimes	EXHIBIT E	Cost Plus Fixed Fee Cost Estimate of Consultant Services Worksheet (BLR 05513 or BLR 05514)

I. THE ENGINEER AGREES,

- 1. To perform or be responsible for the performance of the Scope of Services presented in EXHIBIT A for the LPA in connection with the proposed improvements herein before described.
- The Classifications of the employees used in the work shall be consistent with the employee classifications and estimated staff
 hours. If higher-salaried personnel of the firm, including the Principal Engineer, perform services that are to be performed by
 lesser-salaried personnel, the wage rate billed for such services shall be commensurate with the payroll rate for the work
 performed.
- 3. That the ENGINEER shall be responsible for the accuracy of the work and shall promptly make necessary revisions or corrections required as a result of the ENGINEER'S error, omissions or negligent acts without additional compensation. Acceptance of work by the LPA or DEPARTMENT will not relieve the ENGINEER of the responsibility to make subsequent correction of any such errors or omissions or the responsibility for clarifying ambiguities.
- That the ENGINEER will comply with applicable Federal laws and regulations, State of Illinois Statutes, and the local laws or ordinances of the LPA.
- 5. To pay its subconsultants for satisfactory performance no later than 30 days from receipt of each payment from the LPA.
- 6. To invoice the LPA for Preliminary and/or Design Engineering: The ENGINEER shall submit all invoices to the LPA within three months of the completion of the work called for in the AGREEMENT or any subsequent Amendment or Supplement.
- 7. To submit a completed BLR 05613, Engineering Payment Report, to the DEPARTMENT within three months of the completion of the work called for in this AGREEMENT or any subsequent Amendment or Supplement. The form shall be submitted with the final invoice.
- 8. The ENGINEER or subconsultant shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin or sex in the performance of this AGREEMENT. The ENGINEER shall carry out applicable requirements of 49 CFR part 26 in the administration of US Department of Transportation (US DOT) assisted contract. Failure by the Engineer to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this AGREEMENT, which may result in the termination of this AGREEMENT or such other remedy as the LPA deems appropriate.
- 9. That none of the services to be furnished by the ENGINEER shall be sublet assigned or transferred to any other party or parties without written consent of the LPA. The consent to sublet, assign or otherwise transfer any portion of the services to be furnished by the ENGINEER shall be construed to relieve the ENGINEER of any responsibility for the fulfillment of this AGREEMENT.
- 10. For Construction Engineering Contracts:
 - (a) The ENGINEER shall be prequalified with the STATE in Construction Inspection. All employees of the ENGINEER serving as the onsite resident construction supervisor or providing construction inspection shall have a valid Documentation of Contract Quantities certification.
 - (b) For all projects where testing is required, the ENGINEER shall obtain samples according to the STATE Bureau of Materials. "Manual of Test Procedures for Materials," submit STATE Bureau of Materials inspection reports; and verify compliance with contract specifications.
- 11. That the engineering services shall include all equipment, instruments, supplies, transportation and personnel required to perform the duties of the ENGINEER in connection with this AGREEMENT See Exhibit C).

II. THE LPA AGREES.

- 1. To certify by execution of this AGREEMENT that the selection of the ENGINEER was performed in accordance with the following:
 - (a) Professional Services Selection Act (50 ILCS 510), The Brooks Act (40 USC 11), and the Procurement, Management, and Administration of Engineering, and Design Related Services (23 CFR part 172). Exhibit D is required to be completed with this AGREEMENT.
- 2. To furnish the ENGINEER all presently available survey data, plans, specifications, and project information.
- 3. For Construction Engineering Contracts:
 - (a) To furnish a full time LPA employee to be In Responsible Charge authorized to administer inherently governmental PROJECT activities.
 - (b) To submit approved forms BC 775 and BC 776 to the DEPARTMENT when federal funds are utilized.
- 4. To pay the ENGINEER:

- (a) For progressive payments Upon receipt of monthly invoices from the ENGINEER and the approval thereof by the LPA, monthly payments for the work performed shall be due and payable to the ENGINEER, such payments to be equal to the value of the partially completed work minus all previous partial payments made to the ENGINEER.
- (b) Final Payment Upon approval of the work by the LPA but not later than 60 days after the work is completed and reports have been made and accepted by LPA and DEPARTMENT, a sum of money equal to the basic fee as determined in this AGREEMENT less the total of the amount of partial payments previously paid to the ENGINEER shall be due and payable to the ENGINEER.

5.	To pay the ENGINEER as compensation for all services rendered in accordance with the AGREEMENT on the basis of the
	following compensation method as discussed in 5-5.10 of the BLR Manual.

Method of Compensation: Lump Sum	
Specific Rate	(Maximum Fee \$150,000) (For Federal Projects this is limited to testing services only)
Cost plus Fixed Fee:	Fixed
Total Compensation	on = DL + DC + OH + FF
Where:	
DL is the total Dire	ct Labor,
DC is the total Dire	ect Cost,
OH is the firm's over	erhead rate applied to their DL and
FF is the Fixed Fee	
Where FF	F = (0.33 + R) DL + %SubDL, where R is the advertised Complexity Factor and %SubDL is 10% profit allowed on the direct labor of the subconsultants.
The Fixed Fee can	not exceed 15% of the DL + OH

<u>Field Office Overhead Rates:</u> Field rates must be used for construction engineering projects expected to exceed one year in duration or if the construction engineering contract exceeds \$1,000,000 for any project duration.

- 6. The recipient shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national original or sex in the award and performance of any US DOT-assisted contract or in the administration of its DBE program or the requirements of 49 CFR part 26. The recipient shall take all necessary and reasonable steps under 49 CFR part 26 to ensure nondiscrimination in the award and administration of US DOT-assisted contracts. The recipient's DBE program, as required by 49 CFR part 26 and as approved by US DOT, is incorporated by reference in this agreement. Implementation of this program is a legal obligation and failure to carry out its terms shall be treated as violation of this AGREEMENT. Upon notification to the recipient of its failure to carry out its approved program, the Department may impose sanctions as provided for under part 26 and may, in appropriate cases, refer the matter for enforcement under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986 (31 U.S.C 3801 et seq.).
- 7. To certify by execution of the AGREEMENT that the selection of the ENGINEER was performed n accordance with the Local Government Professional Services Selection Act 50 ILCS 510, the Brooks Act 40 USC 11, and Procurement, Management, and Administration of Engineering and Design related Services (23 CRF part 172). Exhibit C is required to be completed with this agreement.

III. IT IS MUTUALLY AGREED,

- 1. No work shall be commenced by the ENGINEER prior to issuance by the IDOT of a written Notice to Proceed.
- To maintain, for a minimum of 3 years after the completion of the contract, adequate books, records and supporting documents to verify the amount, recipients and uses of all disbursements of funds passing in conjunction with the contract; the contract and all books, records and supporting documents related to the contract shall be available for review and audit by the Auditor General, and the DEPARTMENT, the Federal Highways Administration (FHWA) or any authorized representative of the federal government, and to provide full access to all relevant materials. Failure to maintain the books, records and supporting documents required by this section shall establish a presumption in favor of the DEPARTMENT for the recovery of any funds paid by the DEPARTMENT under the contract for which adequate books, records and supporting documentation are not available to support their purported disbursement.
- 3. That the ENGINEER shall be responsible for any and all damages to property or persons arising out of an error, omission and/or negligent act in the prosecution of the ENGINEER's work and shall indemnify and save harmless the LPA, the DEPARMTENT, and their officers, agents, and employees from all suits, claims, actions or damage liabilities, costs or damages of any nature whatsoever resulting there from. These indemnities shall not be limited by the listing of any insurance policy.
 - The LPA will notify the ENGINEER of any error or omission believed by the LPA to be caused by the negligence of the ENGINEER as soon as practicable after the discovery. The LPA reserves the right to take immediate action to remedy any error or omission if notification is not successful; if the ENGINEER fails to reply to a notification; or if the conditions created by the error or omission are in need of urgent correction to avoid accumulation of additional construction costs or damages to property and reasonable notice is not practicable.
- 4. This AGREEMENT may be terminated by the LPA upon giving notice in writing to the ENGINEER at the ENGINEER's last known post office address. Upon such termination, the ENGINEER shall cause to be delivered to the LPA all drawings, plats, surveys, reports, permits, agreements, soils and foundation analysis, provisions, specifications, partial and completed estimates and data, if any from soil survey and subsurface investigation with the understanding that all such material becomes the property of the LPA. The LPA will be responsible for reimbursement of all eligible expenses incurred under the terms of this AGREEMENT up to the date of the written notice of termination.

- 5. In the event that the DEPARMENT stops payment to the LPA, the LPA may suspend work on the project. If this agreement is suspended by the LPA for more than thirty (30) calendar days, consecutive or in aggregate, over the term of this AGREEMENT, the ENGINEER shall be compensated for all services performed and reimbursable expenses incurred as a result of the suspension and resumption of its services, and the ENGINEER's schedule and fees for the remainder of the project shall be equitably adjusted.
- 6. This AGREEMENT shall continue as an open contract and the obligations created herein shall remain in full force and effect until the completion of construction of any phase of professional services performed by others based upon the service provided herein. All obligations of the ENGINEER accepted under this AGREEMENT shall cease if construction or subsequent professional services are not commenced within 5 years after final payment by the LPA.
- 7. That the ENGINEER shall be responsible for any and all damages to property or persons arising out of an error, omission and/or negligent act in the prosecution of the ENGINEER's work and shall indemnify and have harmless the LPA, the DEPARTMENT, and their officers, employees from all suits, claims, actions or damages liabilities, costs or damages of any nature whatsoever resulting there from. These indemnities shall not be limited by the listing of any insurance policy.
- 8. The ENGINEER and LPA certify that their respective firm or agency:
 - (a) has not employed or retained for commission, percentage, brokerage, contingent fee or other considerations, any firm or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for the LPA or the ENGINEER) to solicit or secure this AGREEMENT,
 - (b) has not agreed, as an express or implied condition for obtaining this AGREEMENT, to employ or retain the services of any firm or person in connection with carrying out the AGREEMENT or
 - (c) has not paid, or agreed to pay any firm, organization or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for the LPA or the ENGINEER) any fee, contribution, donation or consideration of any kind for, or in connection with, procuring or carrying out the AGREEMENT.
 - (d) that neither the ENGINEER nor the LPA is/are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency,
 - (e) has not within a three-year period preceding the AGREEMENT been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements or receiving stolen property,
 - (f) are not presently indicated for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a government entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (e) and
 - (g) has not within a three-year period preceding this AGREEMENT had one or more public transaction (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.

Where the ENGINEER or LPA is unable to certify to any of the above statements in this certification, an explanation shall be attached to this AGREEMENT.

- 9. In the event of delays due to unforeseeable causes beyond the control of and without fault or negligence of the ENGINEER no claim for damages shall be made by either party. Termination of the AGREEMENT or adjustment of the fee for the remaining services may be requested by either party if the overall delay from the unforeseen causes prevents completion of the work within six months after the specified completion date. Examples of unforeseen causes include but are not limited to: acts of God or a public enemy; act of the LPA, DEPARTMENT, or other approving party not resulting from the ENGINEER's unacceptable services; fire; strikes; and floods.
 - If delays occur due to any cause preventing compliance with the PROJECT SCHEDULE, the ENGINEER shall apply in writing to the LPA for an extension of time. If approved, the PROJECT SCHEDULE shall be revised accordingly.
- 10. This certification is required by the Drug Free Workplace Act (30 ILCS 580). The Drug Free Workplace Act requires that no grantee or contractor shall receive a grant or be considered for the purpose of being awarded a contract for the procurement of any property or service from the DEPARTMENT unless that grantee or contractor will provide a drug free workplace. False certification or violation of the certification may result in sanctions including, but not limited or suspension of contract or grant payments, termination of a contract or grant and debarment of the contracting or grant opportunities with the DEPARTMENT for at least one (1) year but not more than (5) years.

For the purpose of this certification, "grantee" or "Contractor" means a corporation, partnership or an entity with twenty-five (25) or more employees at the time of issuing the grant or a department, division or other unit thereof, directly responsible for the specific performance under contract or grant of \$5,000 or more from the DEPARTMENT, as defined the Act.

The contractor/grantee certifies and agrees that it will provide a drug free workplace by:

- (a) Publishing a statement:
 - (1) Notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance, including cannabis, is prohibited in the grantee's or contractor's workplace.
 - (2) Specifying actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition.
 - (3) Notifying the employee that, as a condition of employment on such contract or grant, the employee will:
 - (a) abide by the terms of the statement; and
 - (b) notify the employer of any criminal drug statue conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace no later than (5) days after such conviction.
- (b) Establishing a drug free awareness program to inform employees about:

- (1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
- (2) The grantee's or contractor's policy to maintain a drug free workplace;
- (3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation and employee assistance program; and
- (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon an employee for drug violations.
- (c) Providing a copy of the statement required by subparagraph (a) to each employee engaged in the performance of the contract or grant and to post the statement in a prominent place in the workplace.
- (d) Notifying the contracting, or granting agency within ten (10) days after receiving notice under part (b) of paragraph (3) of subsection (a) above from an employee or otherwise, receiving actual notice of such conviction.
- (e) Imposing a sanction on, or requiring the satisfactory participation in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program.
- (f) Assisting employees in selecting a course of action in the event drug counseling, treatment and rehabilitation is required and indicating that a trained referral team is in place.

Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug free workplace through implementation of the Drug Free Workplace Act, the ENGINEER, LPA and the Department agree to meet the PROJECT SCHEDULE outlined in EXHIBIT B. Time is of the essence on this project and the ENGINEER's ability to meet the PROJECT SCHEDULE will be a factor in the LPA selecting the ENGINEER for future project. The ENGINEER will submit progress reports with each invoice showing work that was completed during the last reporting period and work they expect to accomplish during the following period.

- 11. Due to the physical location of the project, certain work classifications may be subject to the Prevailing Wage Act (820 ILCS 130/0.01 et seq.).
- 12. For Construction Engineering Contracts:

Prime Consultant

- (a) That all services are to be furnished as required by construction progress and as determined by the LPA employee In Responsible Charge. The ENGINEER shall complete all services herein within a time considered reasonable to the LPA, after the CONTRACTOR has completed the construction contract.
- (b) That all field notes, test records and reports shall be turned over to and become the property of the LPA and that during the performance of the engineering services herein provided for, the ENGINEER shall be responsible for any loss or damage to the documents herein enumerated while they are in the ENGINEER's possession and any such loss or damage shall be restored at the ENGINEER's expense.
- (c) That any difference between the ENGINEER and the LPA concerning the interpretation of the provisions of this AGREEMENT shall be referred to a committee of disinterested parties consisting of one member appointed by the ENGINEER, one member appointed by the LPA, and a third member appointed by the two other members for disposition and that the committee's decision shall be final.
- (d) That in the event that engineering and inspection services to be furnished and performed by the LPA (including personnel furnished by the ENGINEER) shall, in the opinion of the STATE be incompetent employed on such work at the expense of the LPA.
- (e) Inspection of all materials when inspection is not provided by the sources by the STATE Central Bureau of Materials, and submit inspection reports to the LPA and STATE in accordance with the STATE Central Bureau of Materials "Project Procedures Guide" and the policies of the STATE.

TIN/FEIN/SS Number

Midwest Eng	gineering Associates, Inc.		27-2629787	\$126,159.00
	Subconsultants		TIN/FEIN/SS Number	Agreement Amount
-				v ig somone mount
			Subconsultant Total	
			Prime Consultant Total	\$126,159.00
			Total for all work	\$126,159.00
		AGREEMENT SI	IGNATURES	
	Legal Dublic A	. +	Company and the company of the compa	
	Local Public A	dency lype Name of	local Public Agency	
Attest:	Local Public A The City	of Peoria	Local Public Agency	
Attest:			Local Public Agency By	Date

AGREEMENT SUMMARY

Agreement Amount

Name of Local Public Agency		Title	
Peoria	Clerk		
(SEAL)			
Executed by the ENGINEER:			
	Consultant (Firm) Name		
Attest:	Midwest Engineering Associat	es, Inc.	
Ву	Date	Ву	Date
MAN REG	4/28/2021	Duly Unfor	4/28/2021
Title		Title	

Sr. Project Manager

Sr. Project Manager

 Local Public Agency
 County
 Section Number

 City of Peoria
 Peoria
 16-00369-00-BT

EXHIBIT A SCOPE OF SERVICES

To perform or be responsible for the performance of the engineering services for the LPA, in connection with the PROJECT herein before described and enumerated below

Provide full time Phase III Construction Engineering Services for Contract No. 89723 which includes construction of 1.06 miles of a multi-use path along the former Peoria and Peoria Heights Railroad Roadbed. The project includes earthwork, HMA pavement, concrete sidewalk, bridge rehabilitation, path signing and collateral items.

Construction Engineering Services will be provided in accordance with the Plans, Specifications, and Estimates documents along with Illinois Department of Transportation's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, Supplemental Specifications, Construction Manual, applicable Construction Inspector Checklists, Bureau of Materials Project Procedures Guides, Manual of Test Procedures for Materials, etc.

 Local Public Agency
 County
 Section Number

 City of Peoria
 Peoria
 16-00369-00-BT

EXHIBIT B PROJECT SCHEDULE

Per the special provisions, BDE 80071, the Contractor has 65 Working Days. Based on an estimated Construction start date of June 1, Form BC 255 projects 17 working days in June, 17 working days in July, 17 working days in August and 16 working days in September. Therefore, the contractor should complete construction in late September.

Construction Engineering Services will begin approximately 1 week before construction begins and up to four (4) weeks after completion of the project to finish and submit final measurements, calculations, and contract documents.

City of Peoria	Peoria	16-00369-00-BT
Local Public Agency	County	Section Number

Exhibit C Direct Costs Check Sheet

List ALL direct costs required for this project. Those not listed on the form will not be eligible for reimbursement by the LPA on this project.

Item	Allowable	Quantity	Contract Rate	Total
Lodging (per GOVERNOR'S TRAVEL CONTROL BOARD)	Actual cost (Up to state rate maximum)			
Lodging Taxes and Fees (per GOVERNOR'S TRAVEL CONTROL BOARD)	Actual Cost			
Air Fare	Coach rate, actual cost, requires minimum two weeks' notice, with prior IDOT approval			
Vehicle Mileage (per GOVERNOR'S TRAVEL CONTROL BOARD)	Up to state rate maximum			
Vehicle Owned or Leased	\$32.50/half day (4 hours or less) or \$65/full day	146	\$50.00	\$7,300.00
Vehicle Rental	Actual cost (Up to \$55/day)			<u> </u>
Tolls	Actual cost			
Parking	Actual cost			
	Premium portion (Submit supporting documentation)	1.	\$1,606.00	\$1,606.00
Shift Differential	Actual cost (Based on firm's policy)			
Overnight Delivery/Postage/Courier Service	Actual cost (Submit supporting documentation)			
Copies of Deliverables/Mylars (In-house)	Actual cost (Submit supporting documentation)			
Copies of Deliverables/Mylars (Outside)	Actual cost (Submit supporting documentation)			
Project Specific Insurance	Actual Cost			
Monuments (Permanent)	Actual Cost			
Photo Processing	Actual Cost			
2-Way Radio (Survey or Phase III Only)	Actual Cost			
Telephone Usage (Traffic System Monitoring Only)	Actual Cost			
CADD	Actual cost (Max \$15/hour)			
Web Site	Actual cost (Submit supporting documentation)			
Advertisements	Actual cost (Submit supporting documentation)			
Public Meeting Facility Rental	Actual cost (Submit supporting documentation)			
Public Meeting Exhibits/Renderings & Equipment	Actual cost (Submit supporting documentation)			
Recording Fees	Actual Cost			
Transcriptions (specific to project)	Actual Cost			
Courthouse Fees	Actual Cost			
Storm Sewer Cleaning and Televising	Actual cost (Requires 2-3 quotes with IDOT approval)			
Traffic Control and Protection	Actual cost (Requires 2-3 quotes with IDOT approval)			
Aerial Photography and Mapping	Actual cost (Requires 2-3 quotes with IDOT approval)			
Utility Exploratory Trenching	Actual cost (Requires 2-3 quotes with IDOT approval)			
Testing of Soil Samples	Actual Cost			
Lab Services	Actual Cost (Provide breakdown of each cost)			
Equipment and/or Specialized Equipment Rental	Actual Cost (Requires 2-3 quotes with IDOT approval)			
		Tota	al Direct Costs	\$8,906.00

Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	Local Public Agenc	у	County		Sec	tion N	Number
Qualification Based selection (QRS) Checklist The LPA must complete Exhibit D. If the value mests or will acceed the threshold in 50 LCS 510, QBS requirements must be followed. Under the threshold. (DBS requirements do not apply. The threshold is adjusted annually. If the value is under the threshold with federal funds being used, federal small purchase guidelines must be followed. Form Not Applicable (engineeming services is set ban the threshold) Items 1-13 are required when using federal funds and QBS process is applicable. Items 14-16 are required when using State funds and the QBS policies and procedures discuss the initial administration (procurement, management and administration) concerning engineering and design related consultant services? No Yes 1 Do the written QBS policies and procedures follow the requirements as outlined in Section 5-5 and and administration) concerning engineering and design related consultant services? No Yes 2 Do the written QBS policies and procedures follow the requirements as outlined in Section 5-5 and specifically Section 5-5.06 (e) of the BLRS Manual? No Yes If yes Due date of submitted 11/10/2/18 Mess the scope of services for this project? Was the scope of services for this project? If yes Due date of submitted 11/10/2/18 Methodicy used for advertisement and dates of advertisement. Peorial Journal Star, City of Peoria Website, and City of Peoria e-alert system on 10/04/18 Was the submitted Specification of value of the written QBS policies and procedures cover conflicts of interest? Was the written QBS policies and procedures cove	City of Peoria		Peoria		16-	-0036	39-00-BT
Under the threshold, OBS requirements do not apply. The threshold is adjusted annually, if the value is under the threshold with federal funds being used, federal small purchase guidelines must be followd. Form Not Applicable (engineering services less than the threshold) Items 1-13 are required when using federal funds and QBS process is applicable. Items 14-16 are required when using State funds and the QBS process is applicable. No Yes 1		Qualification Based Selection					
State funds and the QBS process is applicable. No Yes	Under the threshold funds being used, for	l, QBS requirements do not apply. The threshold is adju ederal small purchase guidelines must be followed.	eshold in 50 ILCS 5 sted annually. If the	10, QBS require value is under t	ments he thr	must eshol	be followed. d with federal
Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the initial administration (procurement, management	Items 1-13 are requ	uired when using federal funds and QBS process is	applicable. Items	14-16 are requi	red wi	hen	
Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the initial administration (procurement, management and administration) concerning engineering and design related consultant services? 2	using State funds	and the QBS process is applicable.					
and administration) concerning engineering and design related consultant services? Do the written QBS policies and procedures follow the requirements as outlined in Section 5-5 and specifically Section 5-5.06 (e) of the BLRS Manual? Was the scope of services for this project clearly defined? Was public notice given for this project? If yes Due date of submittal 11/02/18 Method(s) used for advertisement and dates of advertisement Peoria Journal Star. City of Peoria Website, and City of Peoria e-alert system on 10/04/18 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover conflicts of interest?					No	Yes	,
Specifically Section 5-5.06 (e) of the BLRS Manual?	1 Do the written C and administrati	QBS policies and procedures discuss the initial administ ion) concerning engineering and design related consult	ration (procurement ant services?	, management		\boxtimes	
Was public notice given for this project?	2 Do the written C specifically Sect	QBS policies and procedures follow the requirements as tion 5-5.06 (e) of the BLRS Manual?	outlined in Section	5-5 and			
If yes Due date of submittal 1102/18 Method(s) used for advertisement and dates of advertisement Peoria Journal Star, City of Peoria Website, and City of Peoria e-alert system on 10/04/18 5 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover conflicts of interest? Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the methods of verification for suspension and debarment? Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the methods of evaluation? Project Criteria Weighting Technical Qualifications Staff Capabilities Local Presence Work Load Capacity Team Diversity Add Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Work Load Capacity Team Diversity Add Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? I Midwest Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Framsworth Group Hutchinson Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Othe written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	3 Was the scope	of services for this project clearly defined?					
Method(s) used for advertisement and dates of advertisement Peoria Journal Star, City of Peoria Website, and City of Peoria e-alert system on 10/04/18 5 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover conflicts of interest? 6 Do the written QBS policies and procedures use covered methods of verification for suspension and debarment? 7 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the methods of evaluation? Project Criteria Weighting - Technical Qualifications 30% - Staff Capabilities 20% - Local Presence 5% - Firm Experience 20% - Work Load Capacity 5% - Team Diversity 5% - Add 8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order 1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Mere acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approvarily for payment, before forwarding her request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approvarily remedies to violations or before the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approvarily, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	4 Was public notic	ce given for this project?					
Method(s) used for advertisement and dates of advertisement Peoria Journal Star, City of Peoria Website, and City of Peoria e-alert system on 10/04/18 5 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover conflicts of interest? 6 Do the written QBS policies and procedures use covered methods of verification for suspension and debarment? 7 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the methods of evaluation? Project Criteria Weighting - Technical Qualifications 30% - Staff Capabilities 20% - Local Presence 5% - Firm Experience 20% - Work Load Capacity 5% - Team Diversity 5% - Add 8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order 1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Mere acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approvarily for payment, before forwarding her request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approvarily remedies to violations or before the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approvarily, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	If yes Due date	of submittal 11/02/18					I.
Peoria Journal Star, City of Peoria Website, and City of Peoria e-alert system on 10/04/18 5 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover conflicts of interest?							
Do the written QBS policies and procedures use covered methods of verification for suspension and debarment? Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the methods of evaluation? Project Criteria Weighting Technical Qualifications 30% Staff Capabilities 20% Local Presence 5% Firm Experience 20% Work Load Capacity 20% Team Diversity 5% To the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Farnsworth Group Hutchinson Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Oo the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approving a dininistration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	Peoria Journ		eoria e-alert syst	em on			
Do the written QBS policies and procedures use covered methods of verification for suspension and debarment? Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the methods of evaluation? Project Criteria Weighting Technical Qualifications 30% Staff Capabilities 20% Local Presence 5% Firm Experience 20% Work Load Capacity 20% Team Diversity 5% To the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Farnsworth Group Hutchinson Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Oo the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approving a dininistration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	5 Do the written Q	BS policies and procedures cover conflicts of interest?			1		i i
Project Criteria Weighting Technical Qualifications 30% Staff Capabilities 20% Local Presence 5% Firm Experience 20% Work Load Capacity 20% Team Diversity 5% Add 8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson Weighting Tow Work Load Capacity 20% Tow Work Load Capacity 5% Add Note the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Farnsworth Group Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Mere acceptable cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Were negotiations for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	6 Do the written Q		erification for susper	nsion and			
Project Criteria	7 Do the written Q	BS policies and procedures discuss the methods of evo	aluation?		T		
Staff Capabilities 20% Local Presence 5% Firm Experience 20% Work Load Capacity 20% Team Diversity 5% 8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Farnsworth Group Hutchinson Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?		Project Criteria		Weighting			
- Local Presence 5% - Firm Experience 20% - Work Load Capacity 20% - Team Diversity 5% 8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection?					30%		
Firm Experience 20% Work Load Capacity 20% Team Diversity 5% Add 8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order 1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Were negotiations for this project verified? 10 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 10 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	all makes			2	20%		
- Work Load Capacity 20% - Team Diversity 5% 8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order 1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?							
Team Diversity	-						
8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order 1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? 10 Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. 11 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approval? 13 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	of sub-			2			
8 Do the written QBS policies and procedures discuss the method of selection? Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order 1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? 10 Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. 11 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	-	rsity			5%		
Selection committee (titles) for this project City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Farnsworth Group Hutchinson Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?							
City Engineer, Traffic Engineer, Civil Engineer Top three consultants ranked for this project in order Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. Farnsworth Group Hutchinson Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?			ction?				
Top three consultants ranked for this project in order 1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? 10 Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. 11 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? 13 (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?					1		
1 Midwest Engineering Associates, Inc. 2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? 10 Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. 11 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? 13 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	Oity Engineer, 11				1		
2 Farnsworth Group 3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? 10 Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. 11 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? 13 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	1 Midwoot E		in order		-		
3 Hutchinson 9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? 10 Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. 11 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? 13 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?		·			-		
9 Was an estimated cost of engineering for this project developed in-house prior to contract negotiation? 10 Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. 11 Were acceptable costs for this project verified? 12 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? 13 Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?					-		
Were negotiations for this project performed in accordance with federal requirements. Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?			e prior to contract n	egotiation?			
Were acceptable costs for this project verified? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?				H			
Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover review and approving for payment, before forwarding the request for reimbursement to IDOT for further review and approval? Do the written QBS policies and procedures cover ongoing and finalizing administration of the project (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?					님		
13 (monitoring, evaluation, closing-out a contract, records retention, responsibility, remedies to violations or breaches to a contract, and resolution of disputes)?	Do the written QI	BS policies and procedures cover review and approving	for payment, befor	e forwarding			
14 QBS according to State requirements used?	13 (monitoring, eval	uation, closing-out a contract, records retention, respor	g administration of t asibility, remedies to	he project violations or			
	14 QBS according to	State requirements used?					

-	cal Public Agency	County	Section Number
City of Peoria		Peoria	16-00369-00-BT
15	Existing relationship used in lieu of QBS process?		
16	LPA is a home rule community (Exempt from QBS).		

COST ESTIMATE OF CONSULTANT SERVICES WORKSHEET

EXHIBIT E

Local Public Agency City of Peoria	County	Section Number
) Name	December 1	19-00-808-00-01
ering Associates, Inc.	Water Cats	AMOMONA

PAYROLL ESCALATION TABLE

MONTHS			
9	5/24/2021	1/3/2022	11/23/2021
CONTRACT TERM	START DATE	RAISE DATE	END DATE

2.00%

OVERHEAD RATE
COMPLEXITY FACTOR
% OF RAISE

ESCALATION PER YEAR

		1
% of	Contract	100.00%
	Months	9
	Last Date	11/23/2021
	First Date	5/24/2021
	Year	0

The total escalation = 0.00%

BLR 05514 (Rev. 03/12/21) Payroll Escalation

Local Public Agency	County	Section Number
City of Peoria	Peoria	16-00369-00-BT

MAXIMUM PAYROLL RATE_	78.00
ESCALATION FACTOR	0.00%

PAYROLL RATES

Exhibit E Cost Estimate of Consultant Services Worksheet Fixed Raise

	IDOT	
CLASSIFICATION	PAYROLL RATES	CALCULATED RATE
	ON FILE	
Engineer VI	\$65.64	\$65.64
Engineer V	\$61.00	\$61.00
Engineer IV	\$51.84	\$51.84
Engineer III	\$47.62	\$47.62
Engineer II	\$38.10	\$38.10
Engineer I	\$31.48	\$31.48
Technician IV	\$43.17	\$43.17
Technician III	\$37.34	\$37.34
Technician III	\$34.57	\$34.57
Technician I	\$29.00	\$29.00
Field Technician II	\$29.66	\$29.66
Administrative II	\$38.46	\$38.46
Administrative I	\$30.00	\$30.00

Local Public Agency	County	Section Number	
City of Peoria	Peoria	16-00369-00-BT	

SUBCONSULTANTS

Exhibit E Cost Estimate of Consultant Services Worksheet Fixed Raise

NAME	Direct Labor Total	Contribution to Prime Consultant
	Carle Finish	

Total 0.00 0.00

Local Public Agency

City of Peoria

	í	4
	Č	-
	:	₹
		2
	(כ
-	•	1
	•	1

- 1
ס
5
Ľ

-
(D)
=
-0
_
_
7
0
+
()
\sim
a)
40

16-00369-00-BT

COST ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Exhibit E Cost Estimate of Consultant Services Worksheet Fixed Raise

111.80%	
OVERHEAD RATE	J

COMPLEXITY FACTOR

TASK	STAFF HOURS	PAYROLL	OVERHEAD & FRINGE BENEFITS	DIRECT COSTS	FIXED FEE	SERVICES BY OTHERS	TOTAL	% OF GRAND
Construction Engineering	1255	43,728	48,888	8,906	13.892		115 414	91 48%
Engineering Survey	40	1,727	1,931		549		4 207	3 33%
Management & Administration	44	2,684	3,001		853		6.538	5.18%
			*		•		,	
		*			T.			
				The second second second	E		•	
			4		90		,	
			4		100		1	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		•	•		T(s		,	
		*			10		.4	
		4			×		•	
				THE WAY WAY TO SEE			.4	
							1	
			•	TOWN THE PARTY OF			•	
					*		•	
			#01					
		*)			•			
			•	Salar Salar Salar			•	
		*						
			•		3			
		•	•		•		į.	
		•	78					
		٠	•					
		•	,			The second second		
			19		1			
		x						
		10			-			
		•			*			
Subconsultant DL					0			
TOTALS	1339	48,139	53,820	906'8	15,294		126.159	100 00%
		101 959						

101,959

BLR 05514 (Rev. 03/12/21) Cost Estimate Worksheet

Local Public Agency City of Peoria

County Peoria

Section Number 16-00369-00-BT

AVERAGE HOURLY PROJECT RATES

Exhibit E Cost Estimate of Consultants Services Worksheet Fixed Raise

PAYROLL AVG TOT CLASSIFICATION RATES FOURLY HOURLY Engineer VI 65.64 65.64 65.64 Engineer IV 61.00 61.00 61.00 Engineer III 47.62 77.84 77.82 Engineer III 38.10 73.48 77.74 Technician IV 43.17 77.24 77.74 Technician III 34.57 77.24 77.74 Technician III 29.00 78.66 78.67 Field Technician III 29.00 78.66 78.67 Administrative II 38.46 78.66 78.66 Administrative II 30.00 79.00 79.00										M	Management &	00						
HOURLY RATES 65.64 67.00 57.84 47.62 38.10 37.34 43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	TOTAL PROJ. RATES	RATES		Construction	tion Engin	Engineering	Engine	Engineering Survey	vey	Ao	Administration	8 5						
65.64 61.00 51.84 47.62 38.10 31.48 43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	Hours	% Part.	Wgtd	Hours	% Part.	Wgtd	Hours	% Part	Wgtd	Hours	% Part	Wgtd	Hours	% d	Wgtd	Hours	% 1	Wgtd
61.00 51.84 47.62 38.10 31.48 43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	0.0						Maryane					n		- all	BAC		רמוני	ñ.
51.84 47.62 38.10 31.48 43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	44.0	3.29%	2.00	No. of Street, or other Persons						44	100 00%	61 00						
47.62 38.10 31.48 43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	0.0			100							2000	200						
38.10 31.48 43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	0.0																	
31.48 43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	0.0																	
43.17 37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 30.00	0.0																	
37.34 34.57 29.00 11 29.66 38.46 30.00	40.0	2.99%	1.29				40	100.00%	43.17									
34.57 29.00 11 29.66 38.46 30.00	847.0	63.26%	23.62	847	67.49%	25.20		-										
29.00 29.66 38.46 30.00	0:0																	
38.46	0.0																	
	408.0	30.47%	9.04	408	32.51%	9.64	The same of											
	0.0		z*															
	0.0																	
	0.0																	
	0.0																	
	0.0																	
	0.0																	
	0.0												l i					
	0.0																	
	0.0			S THE S						NI COL			100					
	0.0									2000								
	0.0						The state of											
	0.0			ST. ST.														
	0.0						ALC: U											
	0.0			2010			The second											
	0.0																	
	0.0																	
TOTALS	1339.0	100%	\$35.95	1255.0	100 00%	\$34.84	40.0	100%	\$43.17	44.0	7000	00 100	c	700	00.00	c	700	000

MIDWEST ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES - PHASE III CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING SERVICES ESTIMATED DIRECT COSTS Rock Island Greenway: Park Ave. to Harvard Ave.

					tu	100	4,750.00	2,550.00	7,300.00
					Estimated Total Cost		\$ 4.7	\$ 2,5	\$ 7.3
	Overtime Hours Premium Costs	\$1,605.62	\$1,605.62		Estimated Average Daily Cost		\$ 50.00	\$ 20,00	
	Overtime Hours	98			Days (1 Day/8 Hours)		95	51	146
	Premium Rate (0.5 * Calculated Rate)	\$18.67			Days Total Weekend Hours (1 Day/8 Hours)		0	0	
	Calculated Rate	\$37.34		ed	Total Weekday Hours (Excluding Overtime)		761	408	
Overtime	Payroll Classification	Technician III	Total	Vehicle Company Owned	Employee		Technician III	Field Technician II	Total

TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED = \$8,906.00

MIDWEST ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES - PHASE III CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING SERVICES ESTIMATED MONTHLY HOURS ROCK ISLAND ROCK ISLAND Greenway: Park Ave. to Harvard Ave.

RESIDENT ENGINEER / TECHNICIAN III (MAY 24, 2021 TO OCTOBER 31, 2021)	ertime Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Overtime Weekend Days Weekend Days Hours Hours (Saturdays)	0 0 0 Begin Construction Engine 05/24/24	22 0 0 0	1 168 21 0 0 0 Excludes 1 Holiday	22 0 0 0 0	1 168 21 0 0 Construction Ends 09/30/21. Excludes 1 Holiday	0 52.5 0 End Construction Engineering 10/31/2021	
RESIDENT ENG	Hours Per Hours Per We Weekday H	4 0	2	8	8	1	2.5 0 €	
	Month Total Weekdays		Jun-21 22		4ug-21 22	Sep-21 21	Oct-21 21	

847	
L RESIDENT ENGINEER HOURS:	
UB-TOTAL RESIDENT EN	
SU	

S TESTOR/FIELD TECHNICIAN II (JUNE 21, 2021 TO SEPTEMBER 10, 2021)	Comments	Construction Begins 06/01/2021	Excludes 1 Holiday	(A)	Construction Ends 09/30/21. Excludes 1 Holiday	
TO SEPTE	Total Weekend Hours	0	c	0	0	0
(JUNE 21, 2021	Hours Per Weekend Day	0	0	0	0	
ECHNICIAN II	Total Weekend Days (Saturdays)	0	0	0	0	C
STOR/FIELD T	Total Overtime Weekday Hours	0	0	0	0	0
ATERIALS TE	Total Weekday Hours	32	168	176	32	408
ASSITANT INSPECTOR/MATERIAL	Overtime Hours Per Weekday	0	0	0	0	
ASSITANT I	Hours Per Weekday	4	80	8	4	
	Total Weekdays	ω	21	22	8	59
	Month	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Total

80
2
7
Ĭ
2
Ō
5
M
8
ž
3
2
on
8
8
4
5
F
SUB-TOTAL ASSISTANT INSPECTOR HOURS:
3
40

Page 2 of 3

MIDWEST ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES - PHASE III CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING SERVICES ESTIMATED MONTHLY HOURS ROUDES TO BE SERVICES TO BE SERVICED TO BE

	Total Weekend Comments Hours	Begin Construction Engineering 05/24/21	Construction Begins 06/01/2021	Excludes 1 Holiday		Construction Ends 09/30/21. Excludes 1 Holiday	End Construction Engineering 10/31/2021	
TOBER 2021)	Total Weekend Hours	0	0	0	0	0		0
MINISTRATION / ENGINEER V (MAY 2021 TO OCTOBER 2021)	Hours Per Weekend Day	0	0	0	0	0		
GINEER V (MA	Total Weekend Days (Saturdays)	0	0	0	0	0		0
TRATION / EN	Total Overtime Weekday Hours	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
INT & ADMINIS	Total Weekday Hours	4	ω	æ	ω	80	80	44
MANAGEMENT & ADI	Overtime Hours Per Weekday	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Hours Per Weekday	4	2	2	2	2	2	
	Total Weekdays	-	4	4	4	4	4	21
	Month	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Total

SUB-TOTAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT HOURS:
97

3ER 2021)	Total Weekend Comments Hours	Construction Begins 06/01/2021	Excludes 1 Holiday		Construction Ends 09/30/21. Excludes 1 Holiday	
TO SEPTEME	Total Weekend Hours	0	0	0	0	0
ENGINEERING SURVEY / TECHNICIAN IV (LAYOUT VERIFICATION JUNE 2021 TO SEPTEMBER 2021)	Hours Per Weekend Day	0	0	0	0	
IT VERIFICATION	Total Weekend Days (Saturdays)	0	0	0	0	0
IAN IV (LAYOU	Total Overtime Weekday Hours	0	0	0	0	0
EY / TECHNIC	Total Weekday Hours	10	10	10	10	40
EERING SURV	Overtime Hours Per Weekday	0	0	0	0	
ENGIN	Hours Per Weekday	2	2	2	2	
	Total Weekdays	2	ည	S	ιΩ	20
	Month	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Total

	7		
HOLIDO.			
79/10110		1	
ATOT GIIS			