Council Report Backs

2022 2023 Budget Questions – Part 1

Number	Question	Council Member	Page				
1	SAFER – a) Explanation of SAFER grant requirements b) Fire Department Staffing costs, plus benefits c) Overtime costs for the Fire Department	Ali/ Jensen	2				
2	Harrison/McKinley – Federal Earmarks	Jackson	4				
3	Fund Balance Policy discussion	Kelly	5				
4	CIP – Wisconsin Avenue Riggenba						
5	General Fund – Balance	Riggenbach/ Kelly	9				
6	Garbage Fund Update	Riggenbach	11				
7	Sullivan Property Update	Riggenbach	12				
8	Pension Fee Amounts/Public Engagement/Status	Riggenbach	13				
9	Timing of Main Street state grant	Grayeb	14				
10	Drainage Projects	Allen	15				
11	Roadway Infrastructure Projects/Pavement Preservation Projects	Kelly	17				
12	FRF Spending detail	Jensen	20				
13	South Village TIF Demolition Funds	Jackson	25				
14	Workforce Development support in FRF	Velpula	26				
15	Capital Spending Report Back Jensei						
16	Expand FRF by \$3,058,403	Council	34				

Questic	on 1	Question From	Answer From
SAFER -	-	Mayor Ali /	City Manager
a)	Explanation of SAFER grant requirements	Jensen	Finance
b)	Fire Department Staffing costs, 3-6-9-11 staff, plus benefits		Fire
c)	Overtime costs for the Fire Department		

A. Explanation of SAFER grant requirements

The objectives of the SAFER Program are to assist local fire departments with staffing and deployment capabilities to respond to emergencies and assure that communities have adequate protection from fire and fire-related hazards. Local fire departments accomplish this by improving staffing and deployment capabilities, so they may more effectively and safely respond to emergencies. With enhanced staffing levels, recipients should experience a reduction in response times and an increase in the number of trained personnel assembled at the incident scene.

Fire Departments not in compliance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 1710 are given priority in this grant process. NFPA 1710 is the standards given for an Effective Response Force (ERF) for structure fires. The ERF standard set for fire departments response times is 480 seconds (8 min.) The Peoria Fire Department is not in compliance with NFPA 1710.

There are 2 different categories to apply under for the SAFER Grant:

- 1. Hiring Activity
- 2. R&R Activity

Hiring Activity: The Hiring Activity offers grants to support applications to hire new, additional firefighters (or to change the status of part-time or paid-on-call firefighters to full-time firefighters), rehire laid off firefighters, or to retain firefighters facing layoff. Prior to 2021 applying under Hiring Activity did not allow for rehire of laid off firefighters, or to retain firefighters facing layoff. Firefighters facing layoff must have been served layoff notices 120 days prior to start of application.

R&R Activity: The R&R Activity offers grants to support applications to assist fire departments with the recruitment and retention of **volunteer firefighters** who are involved with or trained in the operations of firefighting and emergency response. The Peoria Fire Department would not be able to apply for the SAFER Grant under the **R&R Activity** since we have a full-time paid career department.

Eligible Costs and Requirements under Hiring Activity

Eligible Costs:

- Salary and associated benefits (actual payroll expenses) for the positions funded under the SAFER Program grant are eligible. Costs are reimbursable if they are included as part of the standard package, available to all operational firefighter positions, and contractually obligated.
- Compensation for a firefighter's normal, contracted work schedule is reimbursable, but overtime costs are not eligible for reimbursement by the SAFER Program grant award (including

- overtime for holdovers, extra shifts, to attend training, etc.). Only costs for overtime that the fire department routinely pays as a part of the base salary or a firefighter's regularly scheduled and contracted shift hours, in order to comply with FLSA, are eligible.
- Salaries and benefits of firefighters hired with SAFER Program funding while they are engaged in initial recruit training are eligible.

Requirements:

- Only firefighters hired (New Hire category) or rehired (Rehire category) after the SAFER Program
 grant offer of award (except if awarded under the Retention category) are eligible for grant
 funding.
- Only full-time positions are eligible for funding in all three categories. A full-time position is one
 position that is funded for at least 2,080 hours per year, e.g., 40 hours per week, 52 weeks per
 year.
- SAFER Program grant funds will only pay for operational positions, in all three categories, whose
 primary assignment (more than 50 percent of time) is on a fire suppression vehicle, regardless of
 collateral duties.
- SAFER program funding is for a period of 3 years with an obligation on the municipality for a fourth year to retain the firefighters hired under this award.

B. Fire Department Staffing Costs for 3,6,9,11 Staff

		11		9		6		3
Salary Costs	\$	697,468.89	\$	583,389.17	\$388	,692.78	\$1	94,346.39
Medicare	\$	10,113.30	\$	8,459.14	\$ 5	,636.05	\$	2,818.02
Health	\$	110,000.00	\$	90,000.00	\$ 60	,000.00	\$	30,000.00
Pension	\$	566,068.49	\$	473,480.94	\$315	,464.59	\$1	57,732.29
Total	\$1	,383,650.68	\$1	,155,329.26	\$769	,793.41	\$3	84,896.71

Above is the chart listing the costs for 11,9,6, and 3 fire staff based on the current staffing model followed by the fire department. These numbers are an estimate based on the current per head cost for the City's health insurance as well as the 2021 employer costs for pension benefits which is 81.1%. The pension benefit amount is calculated as total pensionable wages divided by total pension contribution paid by the City. Both the health and pension costs can change annually so the cost in the long term will be higher than the amount in 2022.

C. OT Costs in 2020 Budget for Additional Engine

The 2022 budget includes \$500,000 in additional overtime costs to accommodate running the additional engine until the City is notified of the status of their SAFER Grant application.

Question 2	Question From	Answer From
Harrison/McKinley – Federal Earmarks	Jackson	City Manager

Congress recently announced the inclusion of member designated projects (MDP) for transportation initiatives and congressionally directed spending (CDS) projects for other priorities in the upcoming federal budget. Our local members of Congress and the Senate solicited projects.

The projects submitted to Congresswoman Bustos included:

- MDP Adams/Jefferson One-Way Two-Way conversion (\$5 million);
- MDP Rock Island Greenway from Park Ave to Adams St (\$750,000); and
- CDS Old Harrison School demolition (\$1 million).

The projects submitted to Congressman LaHood included:

- MDP Route 29 pedestrian improvements (\$1 million); and
- MDP Pioneer Parkway reconstruction (\$5 million).

The projects submitted to Senators Durbin and Duckworth included:

- Temporary floodwall Peoria riverfront (\$5 million)
- McKinley School demolition (\$450,000); and
- CSO improvements (\$450,000).

The transportation projects included in the House surface transportation appropriation bill included the \$5 million Adams/Jefferson project and the \$5 million for Pioneer Parkway. The House Transportation Housing and Urban Development appropriation bill included the old Harrison School demolition project at \$1 million. On the Senate side, Senator Durbin included \$450,000 for Combined Sewer Overflow improvements.

The challenge with the ongoing discussions over the Bipartisan Infrastructure Funding (BIF) bill and the Build Back Better (BBB) legislation is that the House transportation earmarks may not make it into the final budget approved by Congress. The Senate did not include transportation projects, and the negotiations may remove MDPs from the final spending. Staff will continue to monitor the spending discussions in Washington and keep Council informed.

Question 3	Question From	Answer From
Fund Balance Policy discussion	Kelly	Finance

	2022 Budget Fund Balances										
Beginning Fund Fund Balance		-	Revenues			Expenses	Ending Fund Balanace				
General	\$	30,061,188	\$	108,359,530	\$	109,876,292	\$	28,544,426			
Pensions	\$	(3,345,469)	\$	34,192,388	\$	33,456,293	\$	(2,609,374)			
OPEB	\$	31,207,346	\$	75,000	\$	-	\$	31,282,346			
Healthcare	\$	4,714,202	\$	13,052,082	\$	14,023,920	\$	3,742,364			
Library	\$	4,027,087	\$	7,293,861	\$	7,284,364	\$	4,036,584			
CDBG/HOME	\$	-	\$	8,646,686	\$	8,646,686	\$	-			
Refuse Collection	\$	(5,354,830)	\$	10,233,684	\$	9,854,220	\$	(4,975,366)			
EDA RLF	\$	(73,893)	\$	-	\$	51,897	\$	(125,790)			
TRF	\$	165,805	\$	240,000	\$	240,000	\$	165,805			
Roads	\$	12,047,203	\$	12,712,677	\$	17,829,900	\$	6,929,980			
Capital	\$	107,839	\$	23,065,746	\$	22,797,615	\$	375,970			
Sewer	\$	6,708,067	\$	14,941,352	\$	12,006,834	\$	9,642,585			
Stormwater	\$	4,670,114	\$	14,000,000	\$	17,425,381	\$	1,244,733			
TIF Projects	\$	4,267,204	\$	5,385,990	\$	5,975,390	\$	3,677,804			
Riverfront	\$	100,580	\$	120,150	\$	257,035	\$	(36,305)			
Solid Waste	\$	399,984	\$	415,000	\$	416,500	\$	398,484			
SSAs	\$	530,016	\$	1,442,845	\$	1,272,140	\$	700,721			
Debt	\$	3,010,351	\$	20,044,344	\$	20,280,494	\$	2,774,201			
Total	\$	93,242,796	\$	274,221,335	\$	281,694,961	\$	85,769,173			

Above is the fund balances by fund. A majority of focus is traditionally on the General Fund and the Council's policy of having a 25% unassigned fund balance. However, many of the City's funds do not require any reserves and instead simply require that the fund be in a positive position. The City's funds are broken down into the following categories:

- 1. General Fund
- 2. Capital Funds
- 3. Proprietary or Business Type Activity Funds
- 4. Special Revenue Funds
- 5. Fiduciary Funds

It is best to breakdown each of these fund types and what the reserve requirements should be for each type and why you might see deficit budgets if the spending is occurring in funds with balances higher than recommended.

General Fund

Per Policy and best practice, the general fund should maintain a fund balance of at least 25% of expenses. If the fund is below policy, the policy states a plan should be in place to get the fund within compliance within 5 years. Consistent deficit spending in this fund will start to cause operational issues in future years that will need to be corrected through expense cuts or revenue generation. Other smaller funds are maintained like the General Fund and those include the library, debt, and OPEB. The library does follow the 25% fund balance target. The debt fund carries a fund balance but does not have a reserve requirement. Debt service funds will maintain fund balances to ensure bond covenants are met of having some reserves in place to cover the first payment on new debt issuances. The OPEB fund is in place to maintain reserves for future post-employment benefits for current employees. Unlike many OPEB funds, the City's is not a trust fund and instead just a quasi-general fund.

Capital Funds

The City has multiple Capital Funds. These funds include the Capital, Roads, and functions within funds such as the Sewer Fund that function as a capital funds. Capital funds do not require a fund balance. They simply are recommended to not have a negative balance. These are funds that you may see a ramp up in fund balance for a multitude of reasons including project deferrals, one-time revenues, or project cuts. These are funds that you will many times see deficit spending that makes the City's overall budget in a negative spending position but that is intended. That is what is occurring in the Roads and Sewer funds in 2022 and 2023.

Proprietary Fund

The City maintains two proprietary funds for two very different reasons. The first is the Stormwater Utility Fund. This fund is intended to help maintain the City's stormwater system and is funded primarily through stormwater fees to residents and businesses. The fund balance reported in the City's audit is inclusive of all assets whether liquid or not. The goal of this fund is to ensure that there are enough assets to cover all current and future liabilities. In the 2022 and 2023 budget, there is a drawn down of "liquid" fund balance, but the fund balance of assets is still in a very strong position.

The other proprietary fund is the City's health insurance fund. The City is self-insured, therefore can be subject to large swings in costs depending on the losses for the plan each year. There are mechanisms like stop loss insurance in place to help defray some costs of the large claims, but one bad year can raise costs substantially for the City. This Fund has traditionally attempted to maintain a 25% fund balance, though it is not prescribed by policy. In 2022 and 2023 there is some deficit spending that contributes to the deficit spending; however, the fund stays above the 25% fund balance level.

Special Revenue Funds

The City maintains a number of special revenue funds including refuse collection, TIF project funds, and SSA's. These funds fall into 2 different categories. The Refuse Collection Fund is mostly an operational

fund that is intended to sustain the garbage collections for the City. There is currently not a reserve requirement other than the fund being in a positive position. This fund has a large negative fund balance that is slowly being paid back in the 2022 and 2023 budget and will need to continue in future years. The SSA and TIF Funds, while they may carry a fund balance, they are intended to end their legislative or useful life with no fund balance. The fund balance may fluctuate over time which can contribute the overall deficit or surplus of the City in any given year depending on what projects are occurring.

Fiduciary Fund

The City's police and fire pension fund is the one fiduciary fund the City maintains. This fund is very similar to proprietary fund in terms of their reserve level. The end goal of fiduciary funds is for the City to have enough assets to cover its liabilities and the fund's health isn't tied to liquid cash reserves.

Overall Picture

The 2022 and 2023 budgets have a use of reserves of \$7,473,074 and \$2,888,440 respectively. The presented general fund does have deficit budgets of \$1,516,761 in 2022 and \$2,156,198 and a separate report back shows how the City can close that gap. But the rest of the of the deficit spending is in the Roads and Sewer Funds for planned drawn downs in fund balance. This is due to delayed projects due to the pandemic and will moderate in 2024 and future years.

Question 4	Question From	
CIP – Wisconsin Avenue	Riggenbach	Public Works
Cir – Wisconsiii Avenue		Finance

Existing road funds, coupled with Fiscal Recovery Funds, could afford the opportunity to mill and overlay Wisconsin Avenue from Republic to Forrest Hill. This would reduce the project from \$12.5 million to \$8.6 million. \$600,000 would cover design engineering and \$8 million would mill and overlay this 1 mile stretch of roadway.

Question 5	Question From	Answer From
General Fund – Balance	Riggenbach	City Manager
		Finance

The following table shows the adjustments that can be made to balance the General Fund for Fiscal Years 2022 and 2023:

	2022	2023
Use of General Fund Cash	(1,516,761)	(2,156,198)
Revenue Additions		
Home Rule Sales Tax		264,000
Hotel Tax	20,789	78,828
Restaurant Tax	50,060	108,432
Package Liquor Tax	50,000	51,000
Real Estate Transfer Tax	200,000	204,000
Municipal Aggregation	200,000	204,000
Municipal Sales / Local Use		
Tax	300,000	590,500
Income Tax	100,000	102,000
Total Revenue Additions	920,849	1,602,760
Expense Cuts		
Public Works		
Parking Labor	162,000	165,240
Electric Street Lights	200,000	204,000
Water	20,000	20,400
Sewer	65,000	66,300
Streetlights	30,000	30,600
Paint	100,000	102,000
Traffic Supplies	198,000	201,960
	775,000	790,500
Total Expense Cuts	775,000	790,500
Increase in General Fund Reserves	179,088	237,062

In revisiting the General Fund revenues, several could be adjusted upward, based upon current trends in collections. Hotel taxes could be increased slightly by \$20,789 in 2022 and \$78,828 in 2023. This would place hotel tax increases at 10% and 5% respectively for the next 2 years. Restaurant taxes could also be adjusted upwards by \$50,060 in 2022 and \$108,432 in 2023. The growth in restaurant taxes would be

3% each year. Other revenue increases that could be adjusted in 2022 and then grow by 2% in 2023 include:

- Package Liquor taxes (\$50,000);
- Real Estate Transfer taxes (\$200,000);
- Municipal Aggregation fees (\$200,000);
- Income tax (\$100,000).

Home Rule Sales tax can be adjusted upward \$264,000 in 2023 for a growth rate of 3% over 2022. Municipal Sales/ Local Use tax can be adjusted \$300,000 in 2022 based upon current collections and \$590,500 in 2023 for a 3% growth rate. The total revenue adjustments over 2022 and 2023 would be \$920,849 in 2022 and \$1,602,760 in 2023.

On the expense side, Public Works contractual expenses could be adjusted downward \$775,000 in 2022 and \$790,500 in 2023. These adjustments in parking contract labor, streetlights, water and sewer, paint contract and traffic supplies reflect an adjustment based upon pre pandemic spending levels.

Fire overtime increased by \$500,000 in 2022 to cover the staffing of an additional engine while the City applies for the SAFER grant. This \$500,000 was increased by 2% in 2023. Should the SAFER grant be approved, with the corresponding expense and revenue, this \$550,000 can be removed as well, falling to the bottom line in 2023.

Question 6	Question From	Answer From
Garbage Fund Update	Riggenbach	Finance

			ACTUAL	F	PROJECTION	Budget	Budget
			2020		2021	2022	2023
FEES & USER CHARGES			\$ 9,270,543	\$	9,900,000	\$ 10,013,208	\$ 10,213,472
MISC / OTHER CHARGES	•		\$ 148,332	\$	214,575	\$ 220,476	\$ 224,886
PERSONNEL EXP			\$ 62,916	\$	58,066	\$ 61,420	\$ 62,648
CONTRACTUAL EXP			\$ 8,798,324	\$	9,170,363	\$ 9,597,800	\$ 9,789,756
SUPPLIES EXP			\$ 41,109	\$	130,000	\$ 195,000	\$ 198,900
TOTAL REVENUE			\$ 9,418,875	\$	10,114,575	\$ 10,233,684	\$ 10,438,358
TOTAL EXPENSE			\$ 8,902,349	\$	9,358,429	\$ 9,854,220	\$ 10,051,304
TOTAL NET			\$ 516,526	\$	756,146	\$ 379,464	\$ 387,053
		2019	\$ 2,020	\$	2,021	\$ 2,022	\$ 2,023
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	\$	(6,627,502)	\$ (6,110,976)	\$	(5,354,830)	\$ (4,975,366)	\$ (4,588,313)

The Refuse Collection Fund started the 2020 fiscal year with a negative fund balance of (\$6,627,502). The fund has seen strong performance over the last two fiscal years with surpluses of \$516,526 in 2020 and is projected to be \$756,146 in 2021. These years have trimmed a little over \$1.27 million or 19% of the funds existing negative fund balance. The 2022 and 2023 budgets are estimated to have surpluses of \$379,464 in 2022 and \$387,053 in 2023. If the Garbage Fund's performance continues to follow this trend the fund would be estimated to reach a positive fund balance in 10 years. The 10-year projection assumes consistent increases to fees and relatively stable increases to expenses. Either of those not occurring will delay the fund reaching a positive fund balance.

Should Landfill 2 close earlier than expected, disposal costs at the landfill will drop considerably, accelerating the repayment of this deficit.

Question 7	Question From	Answer From
Sullivan Property Update	Riggenbach	City Manager
		Legal

To provide additional parking in the Warehouse District, the extension of Depot Street behind the 800-1000 blocks of Washington Street would be necessary. The City would need to acquire the land from JP Riverfront LLC, a company owned by Pat Sullivan. This land acquisition would allow the City to build the street and to construct a surface parking lot for public, residential and commercial use. The \$5,070,000 cost of the project is in the Capital Budget on page 25:

- Land Acquisition (\$1,691,000);
- Engineering (\$595,000);
- Construction (\$2,754,000); and
- Other expenses (\$30,000).

Staff have been exploring a private financing of this public improvement and negotiating the sale and development agreement with Mr. Sullivan. The financing of this project can be paid for through the Warehouse District TIF. Staff have not included this project in 2022, but Council could direct staff to include the project in the budget.

Question 8	Question From	Answer From
Pension Fee Amounts/Public Engagement/Status	Riggenbach	Finance

City Council approved a Public Safety Pension Fee effective for the 2019 fiscal year. The existence ordinance is set to sunset at the end of 2021 without Council approval of a new ordinance. The pension fee is based on square footage of the building on any parcel (excluding government parcels) in the City. The fee structure for the last 3 years is as follows:

2019		2020		2021	
Parcel	Fee	Parcel	Fee	Parcel	Fee
No Structure	\$ 10.00	No Structure	\$ 11.00	No Structure	\$ 12.00
Less than 2,000 SF	\$ 25.00	Less than 2,000 SF	\$ 30.00	Less than 2,000 SF	\$ 35.00
Between 2,000 -5,000 SF	\$ 30.00	Between 2,000 -5,000 SF	\$ 40.00	Between 2,000 -5,000 SF	\$ 50.00
Between 5,000 - 10,000 SF	\$ 100.00	Between 5,000 - 10,000 SF	\$ 150.00	Between 5,000 - 10,000 SF	\$ 200.00
Greater than 10,000 SF	\$ 200.00	Greater than 10,000 SF	\$ 250.00	Greater than 10,000 SF	\$ 300.00

Below are the collections the City has seen on the Public Safety Pension Fee over the life of the ordinance.

2019	2020	2021
\$ 1,129,501	\$ 1,438,802	\$ 2,004,070

The 2022-2023 budget recommends Council extend the Public Safety Pension fee at least through 2023 to help the City offset the costs of Public Safety Pensions. Without the fee in place, the City will need to transfer \$2 million annually from the General Fund to the Pension Funds for a total of \$4 million dollars in the 2022-2023 budget. The budget recommends increasing the fees 2% in each of 2022 and 2023. The fee schedule is below:

2022		2023	
Parcel	Fee	Parcel	Fee
No Structure	\$ 13.00	No Structure	\$ 14.00
Less than 2,000 SF	\$ 36.00	Less than 2,000 SF	\$ 37.00
Between 2,000 - 5,000 SF	\$ 51.00	Between 2,000 -5,000 SF	\$ 52.00
Between 5,000 - 10,000 SF	\$ 204.00	Between 5,000 - 10,000 SF	\$ 209.00
Greater than 10,000 SF	\$ 306.00	Greater than 10,000 SF	\$ 312.00

When the Public Safety Pension fee was established Council solicited public feedback on the ordinance. For the fee to go stay in-effect for 2022 and beyond Council would need to act on a new ordinance at which point council could solicit public feedback on the extension.

Question 9	Question From	Answer From
Timing of Main Street DCEO grant	Grayeb	City Manager

Due to the hard work of our State legislative delegation, Peoria will be the recipient of several significant grants:

City of Peoria (Main St)	DCEO – Build Illinois Bonds	25,000,000
City of Peoria (riverfront development)	DCEO – Build Illinois Bonds	15,000,000
City of Peoria - MacArthur Corridor	DCEO – Build Illinois Bonds	10,000,000
City of Peoria (Pioneer Parkway)	DCEO – Build Illinois Bonds	3,000,000
City of Peoria – new Fire House (southside)	DCEO – Build Illinois Bonds	5,000,000
Springdale Cemetery (Infrastructure Improvements)	DCEO – Build Illinois Bonds	362,500
Springdale Cemetery (The Shaft & interior roads)	DCEO – Build Illinois Bonds	100,000
City of Peoria DCEO (Mayor's Youth Group)		250,000

Staff has discussed the release schedule with the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity. Since these funds are tied to bond funds, the release has been staggered by the Governor's Office of Management and Budget to correspond to the time the funds are available for release. State Representative Jehan Gordon Booth is working on our behalf to get the funds released as soon as possible.

Question 10	Question From	Answer From
Drainage Project planned in 2022	Allen	Public Works

The following table outlines the Stormwater Utility Fund Program for 2022:

	20	022 Stormwater Management Program		
2022 CIP#	Project Name		Activity	2022 Spending
7	Channe	l Stabilization at Springdale Cemetery	Capital Project	
	7.1	The supplemental environmental project will be con in lieu of the CSO Consent Decree penalty imposed by		251,000
16	Concret	te Lined Channel Repairs	Capital Project	
	16.1	Study and Planning Completed		
	16.2	Engineering and Construction in 2022 to Construct 7 Concrete box culverts under Merle and Florence	' wide by 4' high	800,000
20	Culvert	at Abington & Madison	Capital Project	
	20.1	Engineering is complete. Construction can begin one negotiations are completed.	e property	1,080,000
21	Culvert	at Glen & Sheridan	Capital Project	
	21.1	Engineering to replace the 1930 era box culvert und carries Dry Run Creek, Tributary C will be started in 2 Construction planned for 2023.		90,000
22	Culvert at Merle & Knoxville Capital Project			
	22.1	Engineering and property negotiations continue in 2 Construction is planned for 2022.	021.	700,000
23	Deerbro	ook Drive (Green Street)	Capital Project	
	23.1	Engineering efforts to plan, design, and create const that will build Deerbrook Drive as a pervious pavement started and completed in 2022. Construction is plant	ent will be	3,445,000
27	Drainag	ge Analysis Engineering	Professional Service	
	27.1	Glencrest Subdivision Drainage Study started in 2022 completed in 2022.	1 to be	70,000
	27.2	Drainage Study of Dry Run Creek, Tributary C at Lake Intersection started in 2021 to be completed in 2022		48,000
	27.3	Drainage Study of Knolls Subdivision Flooding proble	ems	70,000
	27.4	Drainage Study of Mt. Hawley Flooding problems		70,000
28	Drainag	ge Repair Program	Maintenance	
	28.1 Multi-year program with current contractor completed as of 12/31/21. A new 3-year contract will be presented to Council for approval in 1st Quarter of 2022.			1,180,000
43	Floodw	all Protection at Riverfront	Capital Project	

	12.4	= : : ((: : ! ! ! ! ! . (: !!!!		07.000
	43.1	Engineering efforts to plan and design facilities and necessary to protect Riverfront buildings vulnerable	e to 100-year	87,000
		flooding. Engineering to be started and completed	IN 2022.	
54	GI Mair	ntenance	Maintenance	
	54.1	Annual contracted maintenance efforts to maintain	n constructed	240,000
		green infrastructure.		
74	NPDES	Permit - Reporting, Mapping, WQ, & Control	Professional	
	Measu	res	Service	
	74.1	Records and Reporting		45,000
	74.2	Drainage System Mapping		170,000
	74.3	Six Minimum Control Measures		100,000
	74.4	Stream Water Quality Monitoring		50,000
116	Storm S	Sewer Lining and Repairs	Capital Project	
	116.1	116.1 Efforts to repair defects found by inspection. Repairs will include lining pipes and replacement of broken segments as necessary. Work will be completed throughout the City.		800,000
	116.2			200,000
118	SW Ass	et Management	Professional Service	
	118.1	Implementation of a comprehensive asset manage	ment system	610,000
		using Risk Management principals to prioritize asse	et investments.	
119	SW Infr	astructure Repairs	Professional Service	
	119.1	Spring Lane and Orchard Lane roadside ditch resto constructed in 2022.	ration will be	450,000
	119.2 Repairs to storm sewer outfalls found to be defective by inspection.		ive by inspection.	400,000
	119.3	Restoration of roadside ditch drainage in subdivision the City. El Vista, Mt. Hawley, & Golden Acres are p	-	400,000
120		the City. El Vista, Mt. Hawley, & Golden Acres are p	-	400,000
120			oossible locations.	555,000

Question 11	Question From	Answer From
Roadway Infrastructure Projects/Pavement Preservation Projects	Kelly	Public Works

The city updates the PCI for the streets approximately every 3 years. The last update was in 2017. We use that data in a software program that anticipates the changes each year to determine which roadways should be reviewed for both the roadway infrastructure projects and the pavement preservation projects. Once a list is put together, we drive the streets to verify the street is a good candidate and the correct application is being used. The field visit also helps public works determine if any prework is required or any additional work needed, i.e. sidewalks, curb, etc. After the field visit, the list is finalized, and estimated projects costs are prepared. The final project lists are then determined based on funding.

Roadway Infrastructure projects are determined from the entire city. This year consultants designed the Roadway Infrastructure Projects for construction next year. These include Buckthorn Way from Sommer PI to Hazel Nut Dr., Villa Lake Dr. from Willow Knolls Dr. to Wildlife Dr. and Wildlife Dr. from Villa Lake Dr. to Willowlake Dr.

Public Works Engineering staff is starting to prepare the list of projects for next year. Once the lists are prepared, we will drive the streets to determine the final lists for construction. We will design the Roadway Infrastructure projects for construction in 2023.

Pavement preservation projects are determined by district to equalize the spending in each district. Public Works also plans for pavement preservation projects. The following list reflects the pavement preservation work that the department plans for 2022:

DISTRICT 1

Street Name	From	TO
MATTHEW ST	SW Jefferson Ave.	Lincoln Ave.
MATTHEW ST	Lincoln Ave	Antoinette St
WISWALL CT	Wiswall St	Cul-de-sac
WISWALL ST	Laramie St.	Lincoln Ave.
TREWYN AVE	Laramie St.	Oregon St.
BLAINE ST	SW Jefferson Ave.	Butler St.
FRIEDAN ST	Seibolt St.	Griswold St.
GREENLAWN AVE	Humbolt St	Lincoln Ave.
SEIBOLT ST	Friedman St.	Griswold St.
SHIPMAN ST	Hillyer Pl	Dr. Martin Luther King Dr.
SPRING GROVE DR	Spring Hollow Ln	RB Garrett Ave
OLIVE ST	Center St.	SW Adams St.
SARATOGA ST	Aiken Ave.	Fourth St.

DISTRICT 2

Street Name From TO

BROADWAY ST	Columbia Terr	Richmond Ave
LINN ST	Columbia Terr	Cul-de-sac
ASHLEY CT	Nebraska Ave.	Cul-de-sac
RICHMOND AVE	Sheridan Rd.	Ellis St.
MILTON CT	Nebraska Ave.	Cul-de-sac
FINNELL AVE	Forrest Hill Ave	Reservoir Blvd
ALBANY ST	Sheridan Rd.	Avalon Pl.
ARDELL PL	Flint Dr.	Cul-de-sac
LEHMAN RD	Nebraska Ave.	Newman Pkwy
PARISH AVE	Loucks Ave	Cul-de-sac
ARROWHEAD LN	Mission Rd.	Harper Terr.
KANSAS ST	Lehman Rd.	Sterling Ave.
NEWMAN PKWY	Lehman Rd.	Wilson Dr.

DISTRICT 3

Street Name	From	ТО
FRANCES AVE	Knoxville Ave.	Ogden Ave.
WHITE OAK CT	Prospect Rd	Cul-de-sac
ACORN CT	Hillside Dr.	Hosta Cir.
BELMONT PL	Euclid Ave.	Prospect Rd.
HOSTA CR	Acorn Ct.	Acorn Ct.
LYNDALE RD	Knoxville Ave	California Ave.
LYNDALE TER	Lyndale Rd.	end
MISSOURI AVE	Lyndale Rd.	end
ORCHARD PL	Knoxville Ave.	Peoria Ave.
ODELL PL	Peoria Ave.	Missouri Ave.
BIGELOW ST	Wynnwood Dr.	Bournedale Dr.
BOURNEDALE DR	Lynndale Rd.	Bigelow St.
BRYER PL	Purtscher Dr.	Lake Ave.
MARTHA ST	Glen Ave.	Clara Ave.
LYNDALE RD	Bournedale Dr.	end
NORTHLAND AVE	Martha St.	Knoxville Ave.
PURTSCHER DR	University St.	Ridgemint Rd.
BLAIR CT	Aspen Way	Cul-de-sac
BRIARCREST CT	Austin Dr.	Cul-de-sac
BROOKMONT RD	Knoxville Ave.	Lake Ave.

DISTRICT 4

Street Name	From	TO
DAWN DR	Bel Aire Ct	cul-de-sac
WEESHIRE CT	Giles Ln	cul-de-sac

ELMWOOD CT	Ridgelawn Pl.	cul-de-sac
RIDGELAWN PL	Greenwood Pl.	cul-de-sac
EASTVUE CT	cul-de-sac	cul-de-sac
GRACELAND DR	Glen Ave.	Sunnyview Dr.
LEAWOOD CT	Timberedge Dr.	cul-de-sac
BEVALON PL	Glen Ave.	Sunnyview Dr.
CATALINA DR	Scenic Dr.	end
MELODY LN	Glen Ave.	Bevalon Pl
PALMYRA CT	Sandia Dr.	cul-de-sac
RICHWOODS BL	Reservoir Blvd	RR Tracks
SCENIC DR	Rockwood Dr.	End
SUNNYVIEW DR	University St	cul-de-sac
SANDIA DR	Palymar Ct	Reservoir Blvd.
KNOLLAIRE DR	Cordell Ave	Northmoor Rd.
CORDELL AVE	Cul-de-sac	St. Mary's Rd.
LONGWOOD DR	Ronald Rd	cul-de-sac
PEPPERWOOD CT	Timberedge Dr.	cul-de-sac

DISTRICT 5

Street Name	From	ТО
CHERRYBARK CT	Ravinswood Dr.	cul-de-sac
REDWOOD CT	Teton Dr.	cul-de-sac
SPRING LN	Ravinswood Rd	cul-de-sac
TREADWAY CT	Chartwell Rd	cul-de-sac
ORCHARD LN	Spring Lane	cul-de-sac
BERMUDA CT	Nassau Dr.	cul-de-sac
CREEKSIDE DR	Westpoint CT	Trigger Rd.
INDIAN HILL LN	Mossville Rd.	cul-de-sac
CHATEAU PL	Knob Oak Dr.	Lynnhurst Dr.
ENGLISH OAK CT	Ancient Oak Dr.	cul-de-sac
WATERSTONE WAY	Weston Pl	Trigger Rd
STONEBROOK DR	Weston Pl	Waterstone Way
WESTON PL	Woodfield Dr	Waterstone Way
WILLOW CREST LN	Fleming Ln	Courtside In
WOODFIELD DR	Weston Way	Waterstone Way
HANOVER PL	Northgate Rd	Edgewild Dr
NORTHGATE RD	Regent Pl	Edgewild Dr
BUCKSKIN CT	Deerbrook Dr.	cul-de-sac
CEDARWOOD CT	Teton Dr.	cul-de-sac
DRAYCOTT PL	Ivybridge pl.	Woodbridge pl.

Question 12	Question From	
FRF Spending detail	Jensen	City Manager

Staff recommended the following allocations of FRF for the first two years that correspond to the next biennial budget, 2022 and 2023:

Recommended Spending	2022	2023
Infrastructure	\$3,160,000	\$3,160,000
Neighborhoods	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000
Economic Development	\$2,400,000	\$2,400,000
Violence Reduction	\$1,325,000	\$1,325,000
Health Equity (Matched by Peoria County)	\$600,000	\$600,000
Program Marketing/ Finance Administration	\$200,000	\$200,000
Total	\$10,185,000	\$10,185,000

The quantitative preference of the respondents was to put additional resources into infrastructure. In reviewing the City's infrastructure needs, for the next two years, the City could invest in sidewalks, street lighting and mill and overlay projects in the City. Most of the work would be completed within the qualified census tracts that have been hardest hit by Covid-19. This use of funding would increase the City's sidewalk investments by 20%, accelerate street lighting programs and address needed street repairs. An effort to use "smart" technology with cameras and street lighting could work to address illegal dumping and to increase public safety. The list of projects includes:

Infrastructure	2022	2023
Sidewalks – ADA Ramps/ SINR/ Sidewalk Participation	\$870,000	\$800,000
Street Lighting		
Nebraska: Knoxville to Wisconsin	\$580,000	
Glen Oak @ OSF	\$120,000	
Prospect: Forrest Hill to London		\$250,000
SW Adams, Garden, Tyng		\$550,000
Smart City Alley/Street Lighting Pilot	\$500,000	\$500,000
Mill and Overlay		
Prospect: Knoxville to Belmont	\$950,000	
MacArthur: Moss to MLK		\$400,000
Gale: University to Sheridan	\$40,000	\$560,000
Engineering Assistance	\$100,000	\$100,000
Total	\$3,160,000	\$3,160,000

Neighborhoods

The Treasury Department has indicated that housing services to support healthy living environments and neighborhoods conducive to mental and physical wellness are eligible uses of FRF. Several programs have been identified that will support healthier living environments in the City's neighborhoods, particularly those disproportionately impacted by Covid-19. Staff recommends \$2.5 million annually for neighborhoods for 2022 and 2023. A \$1.0 million Demolition/Lot Clearance program could demolish and clear approximately 75 houses annually in QCTs. Multiple studies have shown that a robust demolition program not only removes blight from neighborhoods but also improves property values, reduces gun violence, and improves health outcomes. Funding the operations of the newly created Peoria Land Bank with \$300,000 annually would allow for strategic property acquisition to create future opportunities for affordable housing development and work to put abandoned properties back into the private market to increase property tax revenue in the future.

A Housing Rehabilitation program, modeled on the East Village Growth Cell TIF Housing Rehabilitation Program, but expanded to all QCTs would provide income-qualified homeowners with needed rehabilitation funds. The program would require a match by property owners, thus increasing the amount of money being invested in our neighborhoods and creating job opportunities for building trades. A broad-based \$250,000 Down Payment Assistance program could provide \$5,000 to home buyers for purchasing properties in QCTs, up to a housing price ceiling. The incentive would improve home ownership rates in our older neighborhoods and attract residents to the City of Peoria. A small \$100,000 Competitive Mini-Grant program could allow neighborhood associations to apply for funds to be used for placemaking, community building, and to encourage more participation, such as by increasing engagement opportunities and improving neighborhood branding, all with a goal to improve the quality of life and quality of place in Peoria. Four \$5,000 grants would be awarded in each Council District based on a competitive grant process.

Staff is proposing allocating some recovery funds and some annual CDBG funds to create a competitive RFP process for nonprofit agencies to submit for capital expense requests. Staff will be proposing creating an initial grant pool of \$450,000. (\$200,000 from the American Rescue Plan money that would come out of the Neighborhood/Community Revitalization chunk and \$250,000 from CDBG funds.) Some of the most frequent requests we receive are for items such as vans to provide transportation or funds for building improvements. A minimum and maximum request would be outlined in the competitive RFP with the CDBG Public Service Commission evaluating the applications. The Commission would be instructed to provide full funding to the highest scoring applications to alleviate the burden of administering these funds on our current staff. Therefore, some applications will not be funded in the first year as is the case with any competitive grant program. If successful, we could continue to provide this program annually over the next few years as we have four years to spend the American Rescue Plan money. This type of program will have a lasting capital impact rather than just a one or two years of operating expenses, which has been a goal in allocating these funds.

Community Development would coordinate the solicitation and coordination of these programs. Grant awardees will provide fiscal and program reporting to the Community Development Department.

Neighborhoods	2022	2023
Demolition/Lot Clearance	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Land Bank	\$300,000	\$300,000
Housing Rehab	\$400,000	\$400,000
Non-Profit Capital Program	\$200,000	\$200,000
Down Payment Assistance	\$250,000	\$250,000
Neighborhood Mini Grants	\$100,000	\$100,000
Administration	\$250,000	\$250,000
Total	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000

Economic Development

The FRF can be used to support small businesses with loans, grants, in-kind assistance, and counseling programs, and to speed the recovery of impacted industries. FRF funds can provide assistance to unemployed workers including job training, for individuals who want and are available for work, including those who have looked for work sometime in the past 12 months or who are employed part time but who want and are available for full-time work. To that end, staff recommends an annual investment of \$2.4 million to support small businesses and impacted industries.

First, \$750,000 would be set aside to provide small businesses with grants and loans for working capital and capital improvements and rest support. Funds would be used for:

- Grants for exterior surface improvements and major facade renovation and reconstruction;
- Revolving Loans that complement existing loan programs, with greater flexibility to make capital more accessible:
- Rent Reduction program to offset commercial rents in key commercial corridors and encourage subletting to creative retail and dining activities;
- Grants to creative businesses.

Second, to assist unemployed workers, \$750,000 would be set aside for workforce development initiatives. Funds would be used for:

- Grants to employers to provide skill-based training and retraining for unemployed workers;
- Grants to train and support individuals with interests in improving and investing in commercial and housing redevelopment projects; and
- Support of local workforce development initiatives to aid unemployed workers.

Third, \$750,000 would support Peoria's innovation economy and the growth of small business. Funds would be used for:

 \$100,000 of operational support to Distillery Labs, the entity created to grow small innovative businesses. This community-focused facility will expand opportunities for entrepreneurs, students, innovators, creators, and residents from across the entire Greater Peoria region.
 Distillery Labs is dedicated to finding solutions to improve the health and wellness of

- underserved populations, advance innovation related to food, farming, and transportation specifically smart mobility.
- Grants for small business growth, expansion, and product development that lead to capacity building and new job creation.
- Forgivable loans to new and innovative companies focused on job creation and scaling new businesses.

Lastly, staff recommends \$100,000 of partnership operational assistance for those economic development agencies that the City will enlist in rolling out these programs. An additional Administrative Assistant has been recommended to support the grant and loan activities of the Economic Development Department. Economic Development would coordinate the solicitation and coordination of these programs. Grant awardees will provide fiscal and program reporting to the Economic Development Department.

Economic Development	2022	2023
Small Business Support	\$750,000	\$750,000
Assistance to Unemployed Workers	\$750,000	\$750,000
Small Business Innovation	\$750,000	\$750,000
Operations Expense – Partners	\$100,000	\$100,000
Administration	\$50,000	\$50,000
Total	\$2,400,000	\$2,400,000

Violence Reduction

The Treasury Department has specifically authorized evidence-based community violence intervention programs to prevent violence and mitigate the increase in violence during the pandemic as an allowable use of FRF. To that end, staff recommends that \$1,325,000 annually be used to fund community violence intervention programs that have been proven to be effective at reducing violence.

Mayor Ali assembled 50 community leaders and tasked them with creating community-based solutions to address gun violence in Peoria. The community leaders are examining and reviewing violence reduction programs and will provide recommendations on programs for funding. The Mayor has also introduced an integrated model, S-Net (Safety Network), a system for connecting all programs, groups, organizations, and individuals whose work helps to address issues of violent crime in Peoria. An S-Net Coordinator has been recommended in the Police Department budget to support the violence reduction efforts.

Staff will take the information from the community task force and develop public solicitations that would be issued by the Purchasing Department. Following the review, final recommendations on programming would be presented to the City Council for approval and funding. Grant awardees will provide fiscal and program reporting to the Police Department.

Health Equity

The social determinants of health are the social and environmental conditions that affect health outcomes, specifically economic stability, health care access, social context, neighborhoods and built environment, and education access. The County of Peoria has committed \$2.4 million to focus on the social determinants of health by implement evidence-based practices within Peoria's QCTs to improve health outcomes by improving those social and environmental conditions. Staff recommends matching this investment to establish a Health Equity Fund to work towards improved health outcomes for Peorians.

The Peoria Health Equity Fund (PHEF) is focused on intentionally investing in the process of improving equity. By investing in equity processes expected outcomes include:

- Sustained and integrated improvements in health outcomes
- Ability to re-invest funds towards additional processes.
- Build capacity and skills to continuous assess equity and improve programs
- Quickly and directly impact people and neighborhoods that have experienced adversity
- Support data-driven identification of resources

By leveraging city and county funding around a shared equity model, the community can benefit from increased funding opportunities. It aligns resources, eliminates redundancy, and supports a shared approach. The combined funding would allow for meaningful and systemic approaches. PHEF would use the Peoria City-County Racial Justice and Equity Commission to determine indicators, determine health priorities through the Community Health Needs Assessment and Improvement Plan, and align funding between these areas of inequity.

The Peoria City/County Health Department will serve as administrator of the fund. PCCHD staff will coordinate the administrative, communication and functions in consultation and coordination with the Racial Justice and Equity Commission. PCCHD will provide technical support and corrective action planning through the direction of the RJE Commission. Using the RJE Commission will provide an advisory role to make recommendations on the allocations of funding that most effectively addresses health equity. Programs and efforts would be solicited by the PCCHD, and grant awardees will provide fiscal and program reporting to PCCHD.

The Treasury Department has provided the City with additional flexibility for the hardest hit, disproportionately impacted communities. Looking at the overall funding through this lens, roughly 75% of the first two years of FRF would be applicable to QCTs, thus focusing our investments where it is needed the most.

Question 13	Question From	Answer From
South Village TIF Demolition Funds	Jackson	City Manager
		Comm Dev

The projected fund balance of the South Village TIF for 2022 is \$177,699. The fund balance is low due to funds currently encumbered for development of the MacArthur Corridor and completing Fire Station 4. Staff does not recommend using any of the remaining fund balance for demolition. However, staff is recommending allocating \$2,000,000 of the American Rescue Plan funds (\$1,000,000 for 2022 and \$1,000,000 for 2023) for demolition and lot clearance efforts that will be focused in the qualified census tracts. Staff should be able to demolish approximately 125 to 150 residential structures at that funding level over the next two years which will be the largest coordinated effort of blight removal the City has undertaken related to residential demolition.

Historically, staff has used \$307,741 of South Village TIF funds to demolish 25 residential buildings between 2016 and 2021.

Question 14	Question From	Answer From
Workforce Development support in FRF	Velpula	City Manager

An eligible use of Fiscal Recovery Funds is assistance to unemployed workers. Assistance, including job training, for individuals who want and are available for work, including those who have looked for work sometime in the past 12 months or who are employed part time but who want and are available for full-time work. Report Back 12 outlined workforce development support outlined in the recommended budget:

To assist unemployed workers, \$750,000 would be set aside for workforce development initiatives. Funds would be used for:

- Grants to employers to provide skill-based training and retraining for unemployed workers;
- Grants to train and support individuals with interests in improving and investing in commercial and housing redevelopment projects; and
- Support of local workforce development initiatives to aid unemployed workers.

Question 15	Question From	Answer From
Capital Spending Report Back	Jensen	City Manager

The following table shows the capital budget changes from 2020 to 2022. The Notes column gives a status update for each project.

2020-2022 CIP Projects	Adopted 2020 Budget	2020 Increase (Decrease)	Revised 2020 CIP	2021 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2021 Budget	2022 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2022 Budget	Notes
Adams Street Corridor Enhancement	\$100,000	(\$100,000)	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	(\$100,000)		Project complete
Adams and Jefferson Signal Upgrade/ One- Way to Two Way Conversion	\$300,000		\$300,000	\$2,075,000	\$2,375,000	\$455,000	\$2,830,000	Project underway, funding increased
AED Monitors/ Defibrillators	\$26,000		\$26,000	(\$1,000)	\$25,000	\$40,000	\$65,000	Project underway, funding increased
Allen Rd Resurfacing	\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000	(\$1,000,000)	\$0			Project complete
Allen Rd Reconstruction from War Drive to Northmoor						\$2,420,000	\$2,420,000	New project
Bannon House						\$30,000	\$30,000	New project
Bicycle Plan Implementation Program						\$65,000	\$65,000	New project
Channel Stabilization - Springdale Cemetery Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP)						\$251,000	\$251,000	CSO Mandate
City Hall	\$150,000	(\$100,000)	\$50,000	\$80,000	\$130,000	\$140,000	\$270,000	Project underway, funding increased
Combined and Sanitary Sewer Asset Management						\$165,000	\$165,000	New project
Combined Sewer Outfall Televising	\$1,350,000	(\$1,350,000)	\$0		\$0	\$855,000	\$855,000	Project underway
Computers and Technology - Firewall	\$20,000		\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$0			Project ceased
Computers and Technology - Hardware Refreshment	\$185,000		\$185,000	\$15,000	\$200,000	\$25,000	\$225,000	Project underway, funding increased
Computers and Technology - VOIP Telephone System						\$300,000	\$300,000	New project

				2021		2022		
2020-2022 CIP	Adopted	2020 Increase	Revised 2020	Increase	Proposed	Increase	Proposed	
Projects	2020 Budget	(Decrease)	CIP	(Decrease)	2021 Budget	(Decrease)	2022 Budget	Notes
Computers and								
Technology - Website								
/App	\$0		\$0	\$75,000	\$75,000	(\$15,000)	\$60,000	Project underway
Computers and								Project underway,
Technology - Wireless	\$0		\$0	\$27,000	\$27,000	\$28,000	\$55,000	funding increased
Concrete Lined								
Drainage Channel								Project underway,
Repair	\$350,000	(\$300,000)	\$50,000	\$250,000	\$300,000	\$219,000	\$519,000	funding increased
Consent Decree								
Combined Sewer	67 400 000	(67, 400, 000)	¢0		ćo	¢6 365 000	¢c 255 000	Project underway,
Overflow Reduction	\$7,400,000	(\$7,400,000)	\$0		\$0	\$6,365,000	\$6,365,000	funding increased
								Project underway,
Copiers	\$28,500		\$28,500	(\$13,500)	\$15,000	\$13,000	\$28,000	funding increased
CPAT Building	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$625,000	\$625,000	New project
Culvert at Abington St.						¢4 000 000	¢4 000 000	Name
and Madison Ave. Culvert at Glen and						\$1,080,000	\$1,080,000	New project
Sheridan						\$90,000	\$90,000	New project
Culvert at Merle &						750,000	\$30,000	ivew project
Knoxville	\$600,000	(\$500,000)	\$100,000	\$400,000	\$500,000	(\$500,000)	\$0	Project funded
Deerbook Drive Green								
Street						\$3,445,000	\$3,445,000	New project
Demolition &								
Clearance						\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	New project
Downtown								
Wayfinding						\$696,000	\$696,000	New project
Implementation Drainage Analysis						\$696,000	\$696,000	New project
Engineering						\$112,000	\$112,000	New project
0 0						, ,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. ,
Drainage Repair							4	Project underway,
Program	\$900,000	(\$50,000)	\$850,000	(\$150,000)	\$700,000	\$480,000	\$1,180,000	funding increased
Fiber Optic Upgrade						\$40,000	\$40,000	New project
Fire Central								Project underway,
Improvements	\$78,500	(\$78,500)	\$0	\$97,500	\$97,500	\$30,000	\$127,500	funding increased
	,	(, =)===?	, -	,	, , , , , , , ,	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Fire Fleet					4		4	Project underway,
Replacement	\$1,440,000		\$1,440,000	(\$440,000)	\$1,000,000	\$1,700,000	\$2,700,000	funding increased
Fire Marine Station	\$0		\$0	\$8,000	\$8,000	(\$8,000)		Project complete
Fire Station 3	\$34,500	(\$34,500)	\$0	\$54,750	\$54,750	(\$14,750)	\$40,000	Project funded
Fire Station 8	\$0		\$0	\$17,000	\$17,000	(\$17,000)		Project complete
					,	, 1		
F. 6	1.2 = -:	/4.4	4.	1	122	44	444	Project underway,
Fire Station 10	\$10,500	(\$10,500)	\$0	\$27,750	\$27,750	\$2,250	\$30,000	funding increased
								Project underway,
Fire Station 11	\$87,000	(\$12,000)	\$75,000	(\$54,000)	\$21,000	\$13,500	\$34,500	funding increased

	T							
2020-2022 CIP Projects	Adopted 2020 Budget	2020 Increase (Decrease)	Revised 2020 CIP	2021 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2021 Budget	2022 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2022 Budget	Notes
Fire Station 12	\$25,000	(\$20,000)	\$5,000	\$52,500	\$57,500	\$17,500	\$75,000	Project underway, funding increased
Fire Station 13	\$0		\$0	\$10,250	\$10,250	(\$10,250)	\$0	Project complete
Fire Station 15	\$18,000	(\$10,000)	\$8,000	\$16,500	\$24,500	(\$24,500)	\$0	Project complete
Fire Station 16 - New Fire Station	\$2,500,000	(\$2,500,000)	\$0	, ,,,,,,	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	New project
Fire Station 19						\$107,000	\$107,000	New project
Fire Station 20	\$148,000	(\$34,000)	\$114,000	(\$97,000)	\$17,000	\$30,000	\$47,000	Project underway, funding increased
Fire Training Academy	\$50,000	(\$40,000)	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$0	2024 Project
Fleet Recapitalization	\$1,409,000	(\$331,902)	\$1,077,098	(\$161,147)	\$915,951	\$884,049	\$1,800,000	Project underway, funding increased
Flood Wall Protection at Riverfront	\$25,000	(\$25,000)	\$0		\$0	\$870,000	\$870,000	Project underway, funding increased
Fulton Plaza, SW Jefferson St. to SW Adams St.	\$315,000	(\$315,000)	\$0	\$157,500	\$157,500	(\$157,500)	\$0	2024 Project
Furniture and Office Equipment						\$20,000	\$20,000	New project
Gale Ave from University to Sheridan Mill and Overlay						\$40,000	\$40,000	New project, State Funded with County
Gateway Building Improvements	\$450,000	(\$425,000)	\$25,000	\$187,500	\$212,500	\$144,500	\$357,000	Project underway, funding increased
Glen Ave Reconstruction (Sheridan to Knoxville)	\$55,000		\$55,000	\$250,000	\$305,000	\$1,063,000	\$1,368,000	Project underway, funding increased
Glen Ave Reconstruction (War Memorial to University)	\$1,820,000	(\$1,000,000)	\$820,000	\$2,764,000	\$3,584,000	\$721,000	\$4,305,000	Project underway, funding increased
Green Infrastructure Maintenance	\$200,000	(\$100,000)	\$100,000	\$55,000	\$155,000	\$85,000	\$240,000	Project underway, funding increased
Harrison School Demolition						\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	New project, Federal earmark
Hydraulic Extrication Tools	\$10,000	(\$10,000)	\$0	\$22,500	\$22,500	(\$22,500)	\$0	2023 Project
IS Server Room Upgrade / Recapitalization						\$175,000	\$175,000	New project
Kettelle Residential Officer House	\$4,000	(\$4,000)	\$0	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$3,000	\$15,000	Project underway, funding increased
Lake Ave from Knoxville Ave to Sheridan Rd						\$60,000	\$60,000	County project, City match

2020-2022 CIP Projects	Adopted 2020 Budget	2020 Increase (Decrease)	Revised 2020 CIP	2021 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2021 Budget	2022 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2022 Budget	Notes
Land Bank Activities						\$185,296	\$185,296	Grant Funded
LAWCON Site Remediation- Simantel Property						\$250,000	\$250,000	Project underway, funding increased
Lester B. Bergsten PW Building	\$50,000		\$50,000	(\$24,500)	\$25,500	\$2,224,500	\$2,250,000	Project underway, funding increased
Library Capital Equipment						\$170,000	\$170,000	Project underway
MacArthur Highway Business Corridor				\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	(\$1,000,000)	\$0	Project underway
MacArthur Hwy. Resurfacing (Moss Ave. to Dr. M L King								
Dr.) Municipal Services	\$525,000	(\$500,000)	\$25,000	(\$25,000)	\$0		\$0	2023 Project
Building Improvements	\$10,000		\$10,000	\$24,000	\$34,000	\$241,000	\$275,000	Project underway, funding increased
Murray Baker Bridge Ornamental Lighting	\$50,000		\$50,000	(\$50,000)	\$0			Project complete
Nebraska Corridor Upgrade	\$550,000	(\$550,000)	\$0	\$550,000	\$550,000	(\$550,000)		Project complete
Native Planting - City Facilities						\$255,000	\$255,000	New project
Native Planting - Orange Prairie Detention Basin Restoration						\$55,000	\$55,000	New project
Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program	\$50,000	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$10,000	\$60,000	Project underway, funding increased
North University Street (Pioneer Pkwy to Townline)	\$225,000		\$225,000	(\$225,000)	\$0			Project complete
Northmoor Rd. Improvement (Allen to University)	\$1,200,000		\$1,200,000	(\$645,000)	\$555,000	(\$555,000)		Project complete
New Resident Officer House Purchase						\$80,000	\$80,000	New project
Northside Business Park TIF Improvements	\$700,000		\$700,000	(\$300,000)	\$400,000	(\$200,000)	\$200,000	Project underway, TIF funds
NPDES MS4 Permit - Records, Mapping, Reporting, Water Quality Monitoring, & Control Measures						\$365,000	\$365,000	New project
Outdoor Warning System	\$45,000		\$45,000	(\$22,500)	\$22,500	\$69,500	\$92,000	Project underway, funding increased

2020-2022 CIP Projects	Adopted 2020 Budget	2020 Increase (Decrease)	Revised 2020 CIP	2021 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2021 Budget	2022 Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2022 Budget	Notes
Parking Deck - One Tech Parking Deck	\$400,000	(\$400,000)	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000		\$500,000	Project underway
Parking Deck - Jefferson Street						\$660,000	\$660,000	New project
Parking Deck - Niagara Parking Deck						\$300,000	\$300,000	New project
Parking Deck - Twin Towers Parking Deck						\$300,000	\$300,000	New project
Pavement Preservation - Analysis Pavement	\$195,000	(\$127,000)	\$68,000	(\$25,500)	\$42,500	(\$17,500)	\$25,000	Project underway
Preservation - Implementation	\$860,000	(\$860,000)	\$0	\$860,000	\$860,000		\$860,000	Project underway
Pioneer Parkway and University Intersection						\$255,250	\$255,250	New project
Police Headquarters Improvements	\$34,000	(\$34,000)	\$0	\$204,750	\$204,750	(\$204,750)	\$0	2024 Project
Police Patrol Equipment	\$17,000		\$17,000	(\$7,000)	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$20,000	Project underway, funding increased
Police Semi-Auto Rifle Acquisition	\$10,000		\$10,000	(\$5,000)	\$5,000	(\$5,000)		2024 Project
Police Sub-Station 2						\$113,500	\$113,500	Project underway
Police Taser Replacement Program	\$60,000		\$60,000	(\$52,500)	\$7,500	\$142,500	\$150,000	Project underway, funding increased
Police Technology	\$125,000	(\$125,000)	\$0	\$112,500	\$112,500	\$64,500	\$177,000	Project underway, funding increased
Prospect Road from Knoxville Ave to Belmont Place Mill and Overlay						\$950,000	\$950,000	New project
Public Safety Video Cameras	\$90,000	(\$90,000)	\$0	\$95,000	\$95,000	(\$45,000)	\$50,000	Project underway
Public Works Washington Street Facility						\$75,000	\$75,000	Project underway
Radios	\$120,000	(\$30,000)	\$90,000	\$30,000	\$120,000	\$233,118	\$353,118	Project underway, funding increased
Railroad Property Records Maintenance						\$120,000	\$120,000	New project
Rapid Flashing Beacons	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000		\$20,000	Project underway
Republic House	\$0		\$0	\$7,000	\$7,000	(\$7,000)	\$0	2024 Project

				2021		2022		
2020-2022 CIP Projects	Adopted 2020 Budget	2020 Increase (Decrease)	Revised 2020 CIP	Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2021 Budget	Increase (Decrease)	Proposed 2022 Budget	Notes
Riverfront North Marina	\$280,000	(\$260,000)	\$20,000	\$110,000	\$130,000	\$20,000	\$150,000	Project underway, funding increased
Roadside Safety Improvements	\$25,000		\$25,000	(\$25,000)	\$0	\$210,000	\$210,000	Project underway, funding increased
Roadway Asset Management						\$550,000	\$550,000	New project
Roadway Infrastructure Projects Rock Island Greenway	\$1,200,000	(\$1,000,000)	\$200,000	\$1,600,000	\$1,800,000	(\$400,000)	\$1,400,000	Project underway Federal Grant, next
Extension	\$618,244		\$618,244	(\$618,244)	\$0	\$50,400	\$50,400	phase
SCBA Filling Station						\$50,000	\$50,000	New project
Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus & Harnesses	\$50,000	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$25,000	\$100,000	Project underway, funding increased
Sheridan Road Reconstruction (McClure to								
Richmond)	\$4,190,000		\$4,190,000	(\$4,190,000)	\$0			Project complete
Sidewalk and ADA Ramp Projects	\$825,000	(\$580,000)	\$245,000	\$457,500	\$702,500	\$347,500	\$1,050,000	Project underway, funding increased
Sidewalk Install TAP Grant, Orange Prairie Rd. and Allen Rd.	\$150,000		\$150,000	(\$150,000)	\$0			Project complete
Sidewalk Install TAP Grant, Willow Knolls	\$315,000		\$315,000	(\$315,000)	\$0			Project complete
South MacArthur Revitalization Plan	\$1,000,000	(\$1,000,000)	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	(\$1,000,000)		Project complete
Southern Gateway Corridor	¢400.000	(\$100,000)	† 0	¢400,000	¢100.000	(\$4.00,000)		Barinda and da
Improvements	\$100,000	(\$100,000)	\$0 \$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	(\$100,000)	¢20,000	Project complete
Speed Feedback Signs	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000		\$20,000	Project underway
Springdale Cemetery Equipment	\$60,000		\$60,000		\$60,000		\$60,000	Project underway
Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$415,000	(\$415,000)	\$0	\$830,000	\$830,000	\$670,000	\$1,500,000	Project underway, funding increased
Storm Sewer Lining &						¢4 000 000	¢4 000 000	
Stormwater Management - Clean	6400,000	(6200,000)	* 200 000	<u> </u>	¢5.00.000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	New project Folded into other
Stormwater Asset	\$400,000	(\$200,000)	\$200,000	\$360,000	\$560,000	(\$560,000)	¢200.000	CSO projects
Management Stormwater Utility						\$280,000	\$280,000	New project
Grants	\$600,000		\$600,000	\$300,000	\$900,000	(\$345,000)	\$555,000	Project underway

				2021		2022		
2020-2022 CIP	Adopted	2020 Increase	Revised 2020	Increase	Proposed	Increase	Proposed	
Projects	2020 Budget	(Decrease)	CIP	(Decrease)	2021 Budget	(Decrease)	2022 Budget	Notes
Stream Corridor								
Assessment &								
Streambank								Folded into other
Stabilization	\$0		\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	(\$150,000)	\$0	CSO projects
Subdivision and								
Development								
Infrastructure	_							
Inspection	\$20,000		\$20,000		\$20,000	(\$20,000)		Project complete
Telecommunications	\$100,000		\$100,000	(\$100,000)	\$0			Project complete
Street Lighting								
Upgrade - Glen Oak								
Avenue						\$150,000	\$150,000	New project
Tabor Property -								Project underway,
Brownfield Clean Up						\$500,000	\$500,000	funding increased
Thermal Imaging	424.000		424.000	(444,000)	442.000	442.000	425,000	Project underway,
Cameras	\$24,000		\$24,000	(\$11,000)	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$26,000	funding increased
								Project underway,
Tough Books						\$20,000	\$20,000	funding increased
Troffic Cinnal Conital								
Traffic Signal - Capital	¢65,000		¢65,000	/¢25,000)	¢20,000		¢20,000	Dunia at da
Maintenance Traffic Signal -	\$65,000		\$65,000	(\$35,000)	\$30,000		\$30,000	Project underway
McClure/North								
Upgrade						\$275,000	\$275,000	New project
Traffic Signal -						3273,000	\$273,000	New project
Preemption	\$42,500		\$42,500		\$42,500		\$42,500	Project underway
Traffic Signal	÷ .2,230		Ţ .2,550		+ .2,550		+ .2,550	-,
Management System								
Upgrade	\$50,000		\$50,000	(\$50,000)	\$0			Project complete
War Memorial								Project on hold per
Pedestrian Upgrade	\$70,000		\$70,000	(\$70,000)	\$0			IDOT
Watershed Drainage								Folded into other
Analysis	\$0		\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	(\$100,000)		CSO projects
Washers and Dryers						\$46,000	\$46,000	New project
Western Avenue								Project underway,
(Adams to Lincoln)	\$2,450,000		\$2,450,000	(\$2,450,000)	\$0	\$2,805,000	\$2,805,000	funding increased
TOTAL CHANGES	\$39,494,744	(\$21,161,402)	\$18,333,342	\$3,987,609	\$22,320,951	\$34,357,113	\$56,678,064	

Question 16	Question From	Answer From
Expand FRF by \$3,058,403	Council	City Manager
		Finance

To meet the spending direction of Council to spend Fiscal Recovery Funds up to what we have received to date, spending would need to increase by \$3,058,403.

Staff would recommend the following in 2022:

- Demolition of McKinley School and the old Harrison School \$2,000,000
- Fund a portion of the Allen Road project \$1,058,403

Staff would recommend the use of \$2,000,000 of Fiscal Recovery Funds in 2023 to fund a portion of the Wisconsin Avenue project over the \$10,185,000 recommended.

Funding these projects would ensure that funds are available for both school demolitions and would free up funding for the design work of Wisconsin Avenue in 2022. There should be enough road funds available over the next two years to pay for the remaining cost of Wisconsin Avenue. If the Federal earmark does get funded, Council would have the ability to program an additional \$1,000,000 of Fiscal Recovery Funds for capital projects.

The City will receive an additional \$23,544,988 in May 2022. 2023 recommended FRF funds would be \$12,185,000, leaving \$11,359,988 to for Council to program over 2024 and 2025.

	2021	2022		
Fiscal Recovery Funds	23,544,988	23,544,988		
Recommended Spending	2021	2022	2023	36.2 Eligible Uses
Lost Revenue	10,301,585			(d) Providing Government Services
Infrastructure		3,160,000	3,160,000	(d) Providing Government Services
Additional Infrastructure		3,058,403	2,000,000	(d) Providing Government Services
Neighborhoods		2,500,000	2,500,000	(b)(12)Disproportionately Impacted Populations and Communities. ©
				(b)(7) Non Profits (8) Households
Economic Development		2,400,000	2,400,000	(b)(6) Small Businesses (7) Non Profits (8) Households (9) Impacted Industries
Violence Reduction		1,325,000	1,325,000	(b)(12)Disproportionately Impacted Populations and Communities. ©
Health Equity (Matched by Peoria County)		600,000	600,000	(b)(12)Disproportionately Impacted Populations and Communities. ©
Program Marketing/ Finance Administration		200,000	200,000	
Total	10,301,585	13,243,403	12,185,000	
Unspent FRF		23,544,988	11,359,988	